

2/27/2020

RTI - H

Action History

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00006

Applicant Name

Text of Appeal

Madam , I am not asking for CPIO clarification, opinion or suggestions. The information I asked as CERTIFIED COPY, not whether it is notified on the website of CRRIN or not. I have not asked for web notice copy or whether web notice copy is based on merit wise or not. And is that the merit wise. I have clearly asked for CERTIFIED COPY for Point No. 1,2,3,4. So it says that according to Section 2(f) of RTI Act. 2005. To give me the materialized photo copy which is certified by the Recruitment Committee during the recruitment process. Certified copy of Merit list in paper 1 and paper 2. The committee certified copy is asked, which should be in materialized form.

Print

https://www.rtionline.gov.in/RTIMIS/AA/print_history.php?reg=UsQePWdG26FYphY2iYP3T5M1X9o5J4nNOnrhTsISjA%3D

* 2

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL
ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRR/FAA/RTI - 76 Dated: 21.02.2020 To,

Reply of Appeal

Sub: RTI Appeal pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005. Regarding Recruitment of Technician (1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 seeking information under RTI-Act 2005 are shown below: 01. Certified copy of Total Number of Candidates attended for Trade Test for the post of TA-01, Area- Electronics. 02. Certified copy of Total Number candidates cleared Trade Test for the post of TA-01, Area – Electronics. 03. Certified copy of Merit List in Paper 1 and Paper 2 of Computer Based Test held on 09th November 2019 for the post of TA-01,Area: Electronic. 04. Certified copy of Cut of Marks set for paper-I and Paper 2 of Computer Based Test held on 09th November, 2019 for the post code TA-01, Area- Electronics. Reply of this Section:- Point No. 1: A total 43 number of candidates attended the Trade Test for the Post of TA-01. As far as certified copy in respect of the same is concerned, it cannot be provided to the applicant under Section 8(e) of Right to Information Act, 2005. As per Section 8(e), information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship, unless the competent authority is satisfied that the larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information. Point No. 2: Copy of Result of Trade Test for the post of Technical Assistant, Area: Electronics (TA-01) is placed at F/A. Point No. 3: The Merit List was prepared on the basis of marks obtained by the candidate in Paper-I & II and the same was declared vide web notice No. 1(686)/2019-PL, dated 25.11.2019. No separate merit list was prepared for Paper-I & II. Point No. 4: Cut off marks for Paper-I (which was of qualifying nature) was set/fixed by the Selection Committee is as follows:- UR: 50 Marks, OBC: 45 Marks, SC/PwD/EWS: 40 Marks (F/B) No cut off marks were set/fixed for paper –II by the Selection Committee These replies are with the approval of the Competent Authority. Softcopies will be sent by email whereas hard copies of F/A & F/B will be provided only after receiving the required fee for each page as per rules. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, Fax: 26186536, Helpline No.: 011-267675 Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR), Room Number N 106 Traffic and Transportation Area CRR, Mathura Road New-Delhi 110025 Phone: 9810448759

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	18/02/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	19/02/2020	Nodal Officer	Online

Print

Email

neelima.crri@nic.in

**Re: RTI Appeal pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(1) and Technical Assistant
advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005**

From :

Fri, Feb 21, 2020 06:56 PM

Subject : Re: RTI Appeal pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(1)
and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No.
01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005

To : Neelima <neelima.crri@nic.in>

madam what you have given is false information please give me what i am asking for.
provide me the merit list of paper 1. why are you misguiding me. anyways i know how to get
the merit list copy.will get it.

On Fri, Feb 21, 2020 at 3:37 PM Neelima <neelima.crri@nic.in> wrote:

From: "Neelima" <neelima.crri@nic.in>**To:****Sent:** Friday, February 21, 2020 3:33:28 PM

Subject: RTI Appeal pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(1) and Technical Assistant
advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005

**OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025**

No. CRRI/ FAA/RTI - 76

Dated: 21.02.2020

To,

**Sub: RTI Appeal pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No.
01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005.**

Regarding Recruitment of Technician (1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019
dated 07.03.2019 seeking information under RTI-Act 2005 are shown below:

01. Certified copy of Total Number of Candidates attended for Trade Test for the post of TA-01, Area- Electronics.
02. Certified copy of Total Number candidates cleared Trade Test for the post of TA-01, Area – Electronics.

03. Certified copy of Merit List in Paper I and Paper 2 of Computer Based Test held on 09th November 2019 for the post of TA-01, Area: Electronic.

04. Certified copy of Cut of Marks set for paper-I and Paper 2 of Computer Based Test held on 09th November 2019 for the postcode TA-01, Area- Electronics.

Reply to this Section:-

Point No. 1: A total of 43 number of candidates attended the Trade Test for the Post of TA-01. As far as certified copy in respect of the same is concerned, it cannot be provided to the applicant under Section 8(e) of Right to Information Act, 2005. As per Section 8(c), information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship, unless the competent authority is satisfied that the larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information.

Point No. 2: Copy of Result of Trade Test for the post of Technical Assistant, Area: Electronics (TA-01) is placed at **F/A**.

Point No. 3: The Merit List was prepared on the basis of marks obtained by the candidate in Paper-II & III and the same was declared vide web notice No. 1(686)/2019-PL dated 25.11.2019. No separate merit list was prepared for Paper-I & II.

Point No. 4: Cut off marks for Paper-I (which was of qualifying nature) was set/fixed by the Selection Committee is as follows:-

UR: 50 Marks, OBC: 45 Marks, SC/PwD/EWS: 40 Marks (F/B)

No cut off marks were set/fixed for paper -II by the Selection Committee

These replies are with the approval of the Competent Authority. Softcopies are sent by email whereas hard copies of F/A & F/B will be provided only after receiving the required fee for each page as per rules. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan,
Baba Gangnath Marg,
Munirka,
New Delhi-110067,
Fax: 26186536,
Helpline No.: 011-267675

Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty
Sr. Principal Scientist
Traffic Engineering and Safety Division
& FAA(RTI), ICC Chairperson
Central Road Research Institute

New-Delhi-110025
Phone-9810448759

(Purity, patience and perseverance are the three essentials to success: Swami Vivekananda)



4

PERSONNEL CELL

E.No.2(119)/2014-RTI-PL

Dated: 21.02.2020

Sub: RTI Appeal pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(I) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005.

With reference to the RTI Appeal No. CRRJ/FAA/RTI-77 dated 20.02.2020 received from Sh. Vijay Kumar A regarding Recruitment of Technician(I) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 seeking information under RTI-Act 2005.

The appellant want the certified copies in materialized form of the contents shown below:

01. Certified copy of Total Number of Candidates attended for Trade Test for the post of TA-01, Area- Electronics.
02. Certified copy of Total Number candidates cleared Trade Test for the post of TA-01, Area – Electronics.
03. Certified copy of Merit List in Paper 1 and Paper 2 of Computer Based Test held on 09th November 2019 for the post of TA-01, Area: Electronic.
04. Certified copy of Cut of Marks set for paper-I and Paper 2 of Computer Based Test held on 09th November, 2019 for the post code TA-01, Area- Electronics.

Reply of this Section:-

Point No. 1: A total 43 number of candidates attended the Trade Test for the Post of TA-01. As far as certified copy in respect of the same is concerned, it cannot be provided to the applicant under Section 8(e) of Right to Information Act, 2005. As per Section 8(e), information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship, unless the competent authority is satisfied that the larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information.

Point No. 2: Copy of Result of Trade Test for the post of Technical Assistant, Area: Electronics (TA-01) is placed at F/A.

Point No. 3: The Merit List was prepared on the basis of marks obtained by the candidate in Paper-II & III and the same was declared vide web notice No. 1(686)/2019-PL dated 25.11.2019. No separate merit list was prepared for Paper-I & II.

Point No. 4: Cut off marks for Paper-I (which was of qualifying nature) was set/fixed by the Selection Committee is as follows:-

UR: 50 Marks, OBC: 45 Marks, SC/PwD/EWS: 40 Marks (F/B)

No cut off marks were set/fixed for paper –II by the Selection Committee.

Further, FAA, CRRJ is also requested to provide the information at F/A , F/B ~~FAA~~ to the appellant only after receiving the required fee for each page as per rules.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI.

Forwarded to the FAA, CSIR-CRRI for further necessary action.

SC(P)
FAA, CRRJ

Amis
21/02/2020

21/02/2020

RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/20/00005
RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :	09/02/2020
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/E/20/00001
RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :	06/01/2020
Name (नाम) :	
Gender (लिंग) :	
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	
State (राज्य) :	
Country (देश) :	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided
Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :	
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban
Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :	Above Graduate
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian
Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करने वाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :	No
Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :	Details not provided
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	16332
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	CRRIN/R/E/20/00001 (1) Learned CPIO as in previous replies preferred to SEEK REFUSAL WITHOUT GIVING DETAILED JUSTIFICATION FOR REFUSAL OF INFORMATION as necessitated under act and numerous highcourt judgments like Bhagat Singh vs ctc in which

Delhi highcourt have laid down necessity of CPIO to submit detailed reasons for refusal of information pointwise. So CPIO reply is illegal without giving detailed reasons for refusal.

(2) CPIO have not attached replies received from deemed CPIOs under section 5(4) of RTI act. Such fabrication of reply by CPIO on his own is violation of RTI act and cpio deserves penalty , disciplinary action as held justified by supreme court in sic vs state of manipur judgment .

(3) Longitudinal gradient is essential part of road safety as well as road research. So CPIO attitude of fabrication of reply is against definition of information under section 2(f) of RTi act.

(4) Under section 19(5)--In any appeal proceedings, the onus to prove that a denial of a request was justified shall be on the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, who denied the request. CPIO have failed to justify in detail for denial of every information .

(5) Kindly deliver pointwise speaking order with opportunity to me to submit my view in real time during telephonic hearing as per principles of natural justice as mandated in the RTI act, DoPT Guide for FAA-OM No.1/3/2008-IR dated 25th April, 2008 esp. para-38.41. Transcript of hearing will be very helpful in deciding second appeal at CIC.

(6) Kindly consider three supreme court judgments for disposal necessitating detailed reasons pointwise by conducting quasi-judicial hearings by executives attached .

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI REQUEST No.CRRIN/R/E/20/00001

Applicant Name

Text of Application

Sub- Details of efforts made by CRR I by recommending to NHAI/MORTH/training engineers for increasing longitudinal gradients of National highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para-4.4.1 of IRC-SP-042) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding (para-1.1 of IRC-SP-042-2014) resulting from ponding of water (that is poor drainage gradient) in this decade (2010-2020 UN road safety decade) if any Ref-Such information is in wide public interest under section 8(2) , 7(9) of act, so needing disclosure initiative of CRR I by leaving national highways to be flat causing undue accidents due to skidding in view of poor longitudinal gradient (less than 0.3%)-disclosure of fake ROUTINE consultancy reports without undertaking unique consultancy works with research input (1) pdf Copy of part of road safety expert reports of CRR I SINCE 2010 (UN road safety decade 2010-2020) recommended increasing longitudinal gradients of National highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para-4.4.1 of IRC-SP-042) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding (para-1.1 of IRC-SP-042-2014) resulting from ponding of water (2) pdf copy of list of stretches of flat NH in violation of IRC-SP-042 in your consultancy works undertaken for National highways (4) pdf copy of training imparted to highway engineers for examining longitudinal gradients of National highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para-4.4.1 of IRC-SP-042) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding SINCE 2010 (UN road safety decade 2010-2020) (6) If pdf copy not compiled, copy of exemption given under section 4(1)(a) from digitizing, indexing ,cataloging records since 2005 in last 14 years by competent authority (7) Kindly provide information pointwise by complying para-12,13 of DoPT GUIDE for CPIO(2008)-attached without directing me to visit website without giving

Reply of Application

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	RTI REQUEST RECEIVED	06/01/2020	Nodal Officer	
2	REQUEST FORWARDED TO CPIO	06/01/2020	Nodal Officer	Forwarded To : A.K. Sagar

Print

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & SAFETY DIVISION
(यातायात अभियांत्रिकी एवं सुरक्षा प्रभाग)

दिनांक: 17.02.2020


Sub: RTI - First Appeal submitted by
CRRIN/A/E/20/00005 received online on 9th February 2020.


Reference:

Ref: CRRIN/FAA/RTI-76/02/20 dated 17th February 2020

With reference to the above RTI applications our point wise reply is enclosed herewith for your further action.

धन्यवाद


(रविंदर कुमार) 17/2/2020
HOD(TPE)


(सुभाष चंद)
HOD(TES)

Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty
First Appellate Authority

subject: First Appeal submitted by Sh.

Reference: CRRIN/A/E/20/00005 received online on 9th February, 2020

Reply to RTI No. CRR/FAA/RTI-76/02/20 dated 10/02/2020

Sub: Details of efforts made by CRR recommending to NHAI/MORTH/training engineers for increasing longitudinal gradients of National Highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042-2014) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding (para 1.1. of-SP-042-2014) resulting from ponding of water (that is poor drainage gradient) in this decade (2010-2020 UN road safety decade) if any Ref-such information is in wide public interest under section 8(2), 7 (9) of act, so needing disclosure initiative of CRR by leaving National highways to be flat causing undue accidents due to skidding in view of poor longitudinal gradient (less than 0.3%) -disclosure of fake ROUTINE consultancy reports without undertaking undue consultancy works with research input.

Reply: The allegations given under reference are highly objectionable in the light of no such documentary evidence provided by the RTI applicant. It is to place on records that this institute has not done , issued any such fake road safety audit reports as alleged. If such allegations are continued to be made without sound proof, we will be taking legal route course of action. This institute can give its viewpoints only for any road section for which it has undertaken road geometric design or the conduct of Road Safety Audit assigned by a specific road owning agency.

S.N.	Question	Reply
1.	Pdf copy of part of road safety expert reports of CRR SINCE 2010 (UN road safety decade 2010-2010) recommended increasing longitudinal gradients of National highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding (para 1.1 of IRC -SP-042) resulting from ponding of water	No such case is encountered.
2.	Pdf copy of list of stretches of flat NH in violation of IRC -SP-042 in your consultancy works undertaken for National Highways	NIL
3.	Pdf copy of training imparted to highway engineers for examining longitudinal gradients of National Highways to comply drainage gradient at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042)to avoid to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding SINCE 2010 (UN road safety decade 2010-2020)	No such exclusive training on examining longitudinal gradients of National Highways to comply drainage gradient at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042) has been imparted to highway engineers. However need for complying to drainage gradient as per IRC SP -42 (2014) and other safety requirements has been emphasized in each road safety trainings imparted to highway engineers since 2010.
4.	If pdf copy not compiled, copy of exemption given under section 4(1) (a) from digitizing, indexing, cataloging records since 2005 in last 14 years by competent authority.	Not applicable.
5.	Kindly provide information point wise by complying para 12, 13 of DoPT GUIDE for CPIO (2008)	Relevant available information has already been given in the above paragraphs.

Dr. Ravindra Kumar
HOD (TPE)

Subhash Chand
[HOD (TES)]



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI - 784

Date 19/02/2020

To,

Reference: CRRIN/A/E/20/00005 received online on 9th February 2020

Sub: Details of efforts made by CRRI recommending to NHAI/MORTH/training engineers for increasing longitudinal gradients of National Highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042-2014) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding (para 1.1. of SP-042-2014) resulting from ponding of water (that is poor drainage gradient) in this decade (2010-2020 UN road safety decade) if any Rel-such information is in wide public interest under section 8(2), 7 (b) of act, so needing disclosure initiative of CRRI by leaving National highways to be flat causing undue accidents due to skidding in view of poor longitudinal gradient (less than 0.3%) - disclosure of fake ROUTINE consultancy reports without undertaking undue consultancy works with research input.

Reply: The allegations given under reference are highly objectionable in the light of no such documentary evidence provided by the RTI applicant. It is to place on records that this institute has not done, issued any such fake road safety audit reports as alleged. This institute can give its viewpoints only for any road section for which it has undertaken road geometric design or the conduct of Road Safety Audit assigned by a specific road owning agency.

S.N.	Queries	Reply
1.	Pdf copy of part of road safety expert reports of CRRI SINCE 2010 (UN road safety decade 2010-2010) recommended increasing longitudinal gradients of National highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042) to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding (para 1.1 of IRC -SP-042) resulting from ponding of water	No such case is encountered.
2.	Pdf copy of list of stretches of flat NH in violation of IRC -SP-042 in your consultancy works undertaken for National Highways	NIL
3.	Pdf copy of training imparted to highway engineers for examining longitudinal gradients of National Highways to comply drainage gradient (at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042) to avoid to avoid undue road accidents due to skidding SINCE 2010 (UN road safety decade 2010-2020) -	No such exclusive training on examining longitudinal gradients of National Highways to comply drainage gradient at least 1 in 333 para 4.4.1 of IRC -SP-042) has been imparted to highway engineers. However need for complying to drainage gradient as per IRC SP -42 (2014) and other safety requirements has been emphasized in each road safety trainings imparted to highway

Reference: RTI No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00005.

Neelima Chakrabarty

Page 1

		engineers since 2010.
4.	If pdf copy not compiled, copy of exemption given under section 4(1) (a) from digitizing, indexing, cataloging records since 2005 in last 14 years by competent authority.	Not applicable.
5.	Kindly provide information point wise by complying para 12, 13 of DoPT GUIDE for CPIO (2008)	Relevant available information has already been given in the above paragraphs.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-
110067, Fax: 26186536,
Helpline No.: 011-267675


Neelima Chakrabarty
FAA CSIR-CRRI
Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
neelima.crri@nic.in
9810448759

Reference: RTI No. CRRINA/E/20/00005.


Neelima Chakrabarty
FAA (CSIR-CRRI)
Mathura Road, N Delhi 110025

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00009**Applicant Name** Dhiraj Singh**Text of Appeal** No response.**Reply of Appeal**

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRRIN/FAA/RTI/ CRRIN/A/E/20/00009 Dated: 15th June 2020 To, Mr. Dhiraj Singh Village-Dabethua Post Dabethua PS Phulpur Distt-Sonbhadra UP,India First Appeal Reference RTI Application No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00009 received online on 05th June 2020 Sir, This is in reference to the above RTI appeal, the information was sought from the all the respective R&D Divisions of the Institute and the summery of the reply received is as under: RTI Query Reply to this Section नंबर 1: यह कि उत्तर प्रदेश बिहार को जोड़ने के लिए नेशनल हाईवे 2, ग्राम नौबतपुर जिला चंदौली में कर्मनाशा नदी पर बने पुल में उसके पिलर में दरार पड़ गई थी. उक्त प्रकरण में माह दिसंबर 2019 में तत्काल जिला अधिकारी द्वारा पुल के क्षतिग्रस्त होने के संबंध में जांच श्रीमान जी के संस्थान से उपलब्ध कराई गई थी. उक्त रिपोर्ट की छायाप्रति उपलब्ध कराने की कृपा करें. नंबर2 :यह की श्रीमान जी के संस्थान द्वारा की गई जांच रिपोर्ट भारत सरकार के केंद्रीय सड़क मंत्रालय को कब प्रेषित की गई? क्या जांच रिपोर्ट के पश्चात कोई कार्यवाही की गई? यदि हां तो विवरण देने की कृपा करें? नंबर 1 का उत्तर: यह जानकारी संस्थान के किसी भी विभाग से संबंधित नहीं है। अतः उक्त रिपोर्ट की छायाप्रति उपलब्ध नहीं है। नंबर 2 का उत्तर: चूंकि मांगी गई सूचना संस्थान से संबंधित नहीं है, इसलिए बिंदु नंबर 2 से संबंधित कोई सूचना उपलब्ध नहीं है। यदि आप इस उत्तर से संतुष्ट नहीं हैं, तो आप सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम -2005 की धारा 19 (3) के तहत दूसरी अपील दायर करने का विकल्प चुन सकते हैं, जो केंद्रीय सूचना आयोग के साथ इस संचार की प्राप्ति की तारीख से 90 दिन भीतर है। इसका विवरण नीचे दिया गया है द्वितीय अपीलीय अधिकारी, केंद्रीय सूचना आयोग, सीआईसी भवन, बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग, मुनिरका, नई दिल्ली -110067, फ़ैक्स: 26186536, हेल्पलाइन नं.: 011-267675 नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, FAA (CRRIN), कमरा नंबर एन 106 यातायात और परिवहन क्षेत्र CRRIN, मथुरा रोड नई-दिल्ली 110025 फोन: 9810448759

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	05/06/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	06/06/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	10/06/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please provide the file for necessary action
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	15/06/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

Print



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/ FAA/RTI/ CRRIN/A/E/20/00009

Dated: 15th June 2020

To,

Mr. Dhiraj Singh
Village-Dabethua Post
Dabethua PS
Phulpur
Distt-Sonbhadra
UP, India

First Appeal Reference RTI Application No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00009 received online on 05th June 2020

Sir,

This is in reference to the above RTI appeal, the information was sought from the all the respective R&D Divisions of the Institute and the summary of the reply received is as under:

RTI Query	Reply to this Section
नंबर 1: यह कि उत्तर प्रदेश बिहार को जोड़ने के लिए नेशनल हाईवे 2, ग्राम नौबतपुर जिला चंदौली में कर्मनाशा नदी पर बने पुल में उसके पिलर में दरार पड़ गई थी. उक्त प्रकरण में माह दिसंबर 2019 में तत्काल जिला अधिकारी द्वारा पुल के क्षतिग्रस्त होने के संबंध में जांच श्रीमान जी के संस्थान से उपलब्ध कराई गई थी. उक्त रिपोर्ट की छायाप्रति उपलब्ध कराने की कृपा करें.	नंबर 1 का उत्तर: यह जानकारी संस्थान के किसी भी विभाग से संबंधित नहीं है। अतः उक्त रिपोर्ट की छायाप्रति उपलब्ध नहीं है।
नंबर 2: यह की श्रीमान जी के संस्थान द्वारा की गई जांच रिपोर्ट भारत सरकार के केंद्रीय सड़क मंत्रालय को कब प्रेषित की गई? क्या जांच रिपोर्ट के पश्चात कोई कार्यवाही की गई? यदि हां तो विवरण देने की कृपा करें?	नंबर 2 का उत्तर: चूंकि मांगी गई सूचना संस्थान से संबंधित नहीं है, इसलिए बिंदु नंबर 2 से संबंधित कोई सूचना उपलब्ध नहीं है।

यदि आप इस उत्तर से संतुष्ट नहीं हैं, तो आप सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम -2005 की धारा 19 (3) के तहत दूसरी अपील दायर करने का विकल्प चुन सकते हैं, जो केंद्रीय सूचना आयोग के साथ इस संचार की प्राप्ति की तारीख से 90 दिन भीतर है। इसका विवरण नीचे दिया गया है।

द्वितीय अपीलीय अधिकारी,
केंद्रीय सूचना आयोग,
सीआईसी भवन,
बाबा गंगनाथ मर्म,
मुनिरका, नई दिल्ली -110067,
फैक्स: 26186536, हेल्पलाइन नं.: 011-267675

Handwritten signature and date: 15/06/2020

नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती,
FAA (CRR), कमरा नंबर एन 106
सालायात और परिवहन क्षेत्र
CRRI, मधुरा रोड
नई-दिल्ली 110025
फोन: 9810448759

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00010**Applicant Name**

SANJAYA KUMAR PANIGRAHI

Text of Appeal

Sir/Madam, This is first appeal to you to provide information since CPIO,CRRRI has not provided information with reference to my RTI application dt 22-05-2020 (CRRIN/R/E/20/00034).I have asked information about IS code Para and Page reference details for Acceptance criteria and Formula adopted by CRRRI in its report dt 03 March 2014. CRRRI knows which Para and which page of IS code it has referred while quoting the Acceptance criteria and Formula in its report.This information can not be given by BIS.I am aware that BIS is providing complete IS code documents to public against payment.But in this case I do not need entire document.I need information which CRRRI has referred from IS code (IS 456-2000) while preparing the Report. I want to know the specific standard provisions adopted by CRRRI in preparing the report 03 March 2014. CPIO,CRRRI has denied the information mentioning that CRRRI is not authorized to provide scanned and certified document of BIS.In this case particular information desired is available only with CRRRI and not with BIS.Under RTI Act and rules ,CRRRI can provide these details to me as Indian Standard (IS) codes are available to public .There is no restriction in providing information and particular pages from IS codes referred by CRRRI.Mr.B.M.Sharma,then Area Advisor,CRRRI has not specified Para of IS code in his report and now CPIO,CRRRI does not want to share information.This is not desirable from CRRRI which should maintain transparency in its work. You are requested to provide the information details to me as desired in my application dt 22-05-2020 (CRRIN/R/E/20/00034) immediately.

Reply of Appeal

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRRRI/FAA/RTI CRRIN/A/E/20/00010 Dated: 18.06.2020 To, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Panigrahi O-22-2nd Floor, Lajpat Nagar-II Near Vinobapuri Metro station New -Delhi-110024 kumar0674@yahoo.com Phone : +91-9953445536 First Appeal Reference RTI Application No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00010 received online on 10/06/2020 Sir, This is in reference to the above RTI appeal, the information was sought from the respective RP Division and the reply received is as under: RTI Query I have asked information about IS code Para and Page reference details for Acceptance criteria and Formula adopted by CRRRI in its report dt 03 March 2014. I need information which CRRRI has referred from IS code (IS 456-2000) while preparing the Report. I want to know the specific standard provisions adopted by CRRRI in preparing the report 03 March 2014. Reply to this Section With reference to CRRRI report dated 03 March 2014, the acceptance criteria have been used as per Clause No. 17.4.3 and The formula for finding compressive strength as per Clause No. 6.2.2. of IS 456:2000 has been used. Further, with regard to clarification for the reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, Fax: 26186536, Helpline No.: 011-267675 Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRRRI) Room Number N 106 Traffic and Transportation Area CRRRI, Mathura Road New-Delhi 110025 Phone: 9810448759


SN.**Action Taken****Date of
Action****Action
Taken By****Remarks**

Print

1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	10/06/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	14/06/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	15/06/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send the related file
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	18/06/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

[Print](#)

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI-110 025



Dated : 04.06.2020.

Ref. No. – CPIO/765

To

Sh. Sanjay Kumar Panigrahi
H.No. O-22 , 2nd Floor,
Lajpat Nagar-II,
New Delhi – 110024.

Subject: - Right To Information Act 2005.

Reference: - RTI application dated 22.05.2020, Regn. No. CRRIN/R/E/20/00034.

Sir,

With reference to the above RTI application, the information received from respective division/Section/Person as follows:-

Reply : CRRI is not authorized to provide scanned & certified copies of BIS and IRC Codes. You may get the required codes from BIS & IRC directly. National Tent House may be contacted for the age of cores, as the report was made by them.

In case, you are not satisfied with above information, you may refer an appeal to Mrs. Neelima Chakraborty, FAA, RTI.


(A.K. Sagar)
CPIO, CRRI



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI CRRIN/A/E/20/00010

Dated: 18.06.2020

To,

Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Panigrahi
O-22-2nd Floor,
Lajpat Nagar-II
Near Vinobapuri Metro station
New -Delhi-110024
kumar0674@yahoo.com
Phone : +91-9953445536

First Appeal Reference RTI Application No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00010 received online on 10/06/2020

Sir,

This is in reference to the above RTI appeal, the information was sought from the respective RP Division and the reply received is as under:

RTI Query	Reply to this Section
I have asked information about IS code Para and Page reference details for Acceptance criteria and Formula adopted by CRR in its report dt 03 March 2014.	With reference to CRR report dated 03 March 2014, the acceptance criteria has been used as per Clause No. 17.4.3
I need information which CRR has referred from IS code (IS 456-2000) while preparing the Report. I want to know the specific standard provisions adopted by CRR in preparing the report 03 March 2014.	and Formula for finding compressive strength as per Clause No. 6.2.2. of IS 456:2000 has been used.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-
110067, Fax: 26186536,
Helpline No.: 011-267675

Neelima Chakrabarty,
FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
Traffic and Transportation Area
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00010

Dated: 16th June 2020

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00010 received online on 10/06/2020

With reference to above, kindly refer to RTI First Appeal online by the appellant Mr Sanjay Kumar Panigrahi (hard copy enclosed herewith). It is requested to give a suitable reply to the RTI First Appeal by within five working days under the RTI Act, 2005

The information sought : I have asked information about IS code Para and Page reference details for Acceptance criteria and Formula adopted by CRRRI in its report dt 03 March 2014. CRRRI knows which Para and which page of IS code it has referred while quoting the Acceptance criteria and Formula in its report. I need information which CRRRI has referred from IS code (IS 456-2000) while preparing the Report. I want to know the specific standard provisions adopted by CRRRI in preparing the report 03 March 2014.

Appeal details: I have asked information about IS code Para and Page reference details for Acceptance criteria and Formula adopted by CRRRI in its report dt 03 March 2014. CRRRI knows which Para and which page of IS code it has referred while quoting the Acceptance criteria and Formula in its report. This information can not be given by BIS. I am aware that BIS is providing complete IS code documents to public against payment. But in this case I do not need entire document. I need information which CRRRI has referred from IS code (IS 456-2000) while preparing the Report. I want to know the specific standard provisions adopted by CRRRI in preparing the report 03 March 2014. CPIO, CRRRI has denied the information mentioning that CRRRI is not authorized to provide scanned and certified document of BIS. In this case particular information desired is available only with CRRRI and not with BIS. Under RTI Act and rules, CRRRI can provide these details to me as Indian Standard (IS) codes are available to public. There is no restriction in providing information and particular pages from IS codes referred by CRRRI. Mr. B.M. Sharma, then Area Advisor, CRRRI has not specified Para of IS code in his report and now CPIO, CRRRI does not want to share information. This is not desirable from CRRRI which should maintain transparency in its work. You are requested to provide the information details to me as desired in my application dt 22-05-2020 (CRRIN/R/E/20/00034) immediately.

It would be worthy to highlight hereunder the relevant provision of the act, u/s 5(4) and 5(5) that makes every person involved in the chain of processing information under RTI Act, responsible for the delay or non-provision of information considered eligible under this act. "The Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may seek assistance of any other officer / officers as he or she considers it necessary for the proper discharge of his / her duties." Any Officer, whose assistance has been sought under the sub-section (4), shall render all assistance to the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, seeking his or her assistance and they for the purposes of any contravention of the provisions of this act, such other officers shall be treated as a Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be. Further as per the provisions of the Act, u/s 20(1), non-compliance of submission of information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of the section 7 or maliciously denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect / incomplete or misleading information, shall impose a penalty of Rs.250/- each day till information is furnished, on the officer responsible for the same. The information may be provided after approval from competent authority.

Enclosures: Appellants' appeal (two pages)
and copy of letter of the Area Coordinator (2014)

HoD(RP)
HoD(FP)
HoD(PED)
HoD(PME)
CPIO (please provide file)

COA (for information)

with reference to the CRRRI report dated 03 March, 2014, the acceptance criteria has been used as per clause NO. 17.4.3 and formula for finding compressive strength as per clause NO. 6.2.2. of IS 456:2000 has been used.

HoD(RP)

(Neelima Chakrabarty) 16/06/2020
First Appellate Authority

Sh. Binod Kumar may kindly provide the information as desired in RTI.

Binod Kumar 16/06/2020
(BINESH GANVIR)

Binod Kumar 17.6.20 (Binod Kumar)

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00011

Applicant Name	Vijay kumar A			
Text of Appeal	<p>No response within the limited time. The ADDITIONAL PAYMENT requested on 08/06/2020, is also paid on 08/06/2020. Please take immediate action.</p> <p>OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 RTI No. CRRIN/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 Dated: 02/07/2020 To, Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub :First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 received online on 23/06/2020 Sir, Your appeal as above with the RTI letter to FAA (attached) on dated 27.06.2020 received through email was submitted to the personnel cell for possible suitable available with them and the reply is as under: The information sought : Details of Examination: Employment Notice No. and date: TECHNICIAN (1) AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANT WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Post Code: TA-01 Area: Electronics Roll Number: 210010 Name: A VIJAY KUMAR Trade test date: 25th October 2019 CBT exam date: 09th November 2019 Particulars of Information Sought: All my quires are related to ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019, Post Code: TA-01, Area: Electronics. (1) Certified copy of my evaluated OMR answer sheet for the exam detailed above. Reply to Point (1): No OMR Sheet can be provided as the exam was conducted online. Also the Responses marked by the Candidate do not come under the definition of "Information" under the RTI Act, 2005. (11) Please inform me the Cut Off marks for Genl, SC, ST & OBC category candidates finally selected and recommended for appointment for the above post. (13)Please provide me the marks of selected candidates for the above post for trade test, paper 1, paper 2 & paper 3. (14)Please provide me the marks of not selected candidates for the above post for trade test, paper 1, paper 2 & paper 3. Reply to Point (11, 13 & 14): The marks obtained by other candidates relate to Personal Information, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, and this would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. And no larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information. Therefore, the marks of other candidates could not be provided u/s 8 (j) of RTI Act, 2005. The Post Code TA-01 (Electronics), there was only 01 Unreserved Vacancy. The detail of selected candidate for the post is as under:- Roll No. 210075, Marks in Trade Test (which was of qualifying nature), Paper I, II & III are 75, 84, 85 & 149 respectively. The Trade Test was of qualifying nature in which 50 Marks were set as cut off marks for qualifying the Trade Test. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, Fax: 26186536, Helpline No.: 011-267675</p>			
Reply of Appeal				

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	23/06/2020		

Print

2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	24/06/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	29/06/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Send the file for required information
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	02/07/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

[Print](#)

Select Language: English

Public Authorities Available

RTI Online

Version 2.0

An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

[Submit Request](#) [Submit First Appeal](#) [View Status](#) [User Manual](#) [FAQ](#) [My Account](#) [Login History](#) [Feedback](#)

Final Status of CRRIN/R/E/20/00033

Applicant Name	Vijay kumar A
Date of receipt	19/05/2020
Request Filed With	CSIR-Central Road Research Institute (CRR)
Text of Application	<p>To The Public Information Officer Sh. A.K. Sagar CSIR-Central Road Research Institute, Delhi Mathura Road New Delhi 110025</p> <p>Sub: Request for information under Section-6(1) of RTI Act. Sir,</p> <p>Please supply me the following information/document with respect to following examination appeared by me:</p> <p>Details of Examination:</p> <p>Employment Notice No. and date: TECHNICIAN (1) AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANT WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Post Code: TA-01 Area: Electronics Roll Number: 210010 Name: A VIJAY KUMAR Trade test date: 25th October 2019 CBT exam date: 09th November 2019</p> <p>Particulars of Information Sought: All my queries are related to ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019, Post Code: TA-01, Area: Electronics.</p> <p>(1) Certified copy of my evaluated OMR answer sheet for the exam detailed above. (2) Please inform me the total marks obtained by me in trade test for the exam detailed above. (3) Please inform me the Total number of candidates qualified in paper 1. (4) Please inform me the CUT off set for paper 1. (5) Please inform me the Total number of Genl, SC, ST & OBC candidates applied for the above UN-Reserved post. (6) Please inform me the Total number of candidates appeared and not appeared for trade test and written exam. (7) Please inform me the marks obtained by the candidates in trade test. (8) Please inform me the qualifying nature of trade test. (9) Please inform me the criteria set for short listing the candidates in trade test. (10) Please inform me the Total number of qualified and not qualified candidates in the trade test. (11) Please inform me the Cut Off marks for Genl, SC, ST & OBC category candidates finally selected and recommended for appointment for the above post. (12) Please inform me the total number of candidates applied for the above post and total number of candidates shortlisted for trade test and criteria set for short listing. (13) Please provide me the marks of selected candidates for the above post for trade test, paper 1, paper 2 & paper 3. (14) Please provide me the marks of not selected candidates for the above post for trade test, paper 1, paper 2 & paper 3.</p> <p>Thanking you,</p> <p>Regards A Vijay Kumar</p>
Request document (if any)	document not provided
Status	ADDITIONAL PAYMENT RECEIVED FROM REQUESTER as on 08/06/2020
Date of Action	08/06/2020
Print	

[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#)

Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi

To

Dated: 28.07.2020

The FAA,
CSIR -Central Road Research Institute,
Delhi Mathura Road
New Delhi-110025

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005.

Dear sir/madam,

Please note all my queries belong to **the approval copy of the marks of the candidates to publish on the website and not the Final results**. Note that I am very specific to the approval copy of the marks.

Seeking for information under section 2(j) & section 2(f) RTI Act 2005.

To the point no 1 The CPIO did not attempt to answer. Also the point no 1 says that it is requested for the approval copy of the authority to publish the marks of all candidates on the official website for Avdt No. CSIR-CRRI/PC/04/LDC/2015 Dated 07.09.2015. The marks published link is given in below Reference Link. Please give me approval copy for that.

Reference link: <https://crridom.gov.in/content/marks-obtained-all-candidates-who-appeared-written-test-post-assistant-gensfp-grade-iii>.

Please note: I am only referring to the published marks approval copy given in the above Reference Link and not the final results. Final results and marks are two different terms.

To the point no 2 The CPIO has given false information. The point no 2 says that it is requested for the approval copy of the authority to publish the marks of all candidates on the official website for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019

Supporting proof for the both the points, the above Reference Link shows that marks obtained by all candidates are already published.

Please note: Since both the point no 1 and 2 belongs to recruitment's by the same organization or institute and the CSIR recruitment rules would be same for all recruitment.

As per section 20 of the RTI Act, the Commission can impose a penalty if the PIO denies the request for information with a malafide intent; knowingly gives an incorrect, incomplete or misleading information; obstructs the disclosure in any manner.

Thanking you

Sd/-

Encls

(A VIJAY KUMAR)

CPIO reply copy is enclosed below

CPIO reply for the RTI Application

POST CODE: TA-05, Area: Hotel Management – 01 Post reserved for UR category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	250002	Jitender Kumar Yadav	Khem Chand	08-12-1988	OBC- Own Merit (Govt. Employee)

POST CODE: TA-06, Area: Library Science – 01 Post reserved for UR category.


Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	260022	Satyajit Nayak	Sarata Chandra Nayak	25-05-1993	UR

POST CODE: TA-07, Area: Civil Engineering – 02 Post reserved for UR category, 01 Post reserved for EWS category, 02 Posts reserved for OBC category & 01 Post reserved for SC category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	270134	Keshav Kaushik	Satish Kaushik	21-08-1994	UR
02	270044	Mayank Grover	Rajeev Grover	11-01-1992	UR
03	270208	Rohit Chamoli	Ramesh Chandra Chamoli	09-03-1993	EWS
04	270088	Mohd. Akil	Abdul Gani	17-08-1995	OBC
05	270242	Ankit Kumar Nishad	Rajendra Kumar Nishad	19-11-1994	OBC
06	270075	Ratan Bishwash	Suresh Kumar Bishwash	11-11-1994	SC

The aforementioned candidates will be duly informed by CSIR-CRRI to complete the pre-appointment formalities by SPEED POST.


This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.


25 NOV 2019
(ANJUM SHARMA)
Controller of Administration

Copy to:-

1. Head, CCN -
2. NOTICE BOARDS
3. Office Copy.

To upload the above notice on CRRI Website.


21/07/2019

(02 of 02)



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

RTI No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00011

Dated: 14/08/2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

9
101461
14/08/2020

Sub :First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 received online on 23/06/2020

Sir,

Your appeal as above received through email was submitted to the personnel cell for possible suitable reply available with them and the reply received on 11.08.2020 is as under:

The information sought : Details of Examination: Employment Notice No. and date: TECHNICIAN (1) AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANT WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Post Code: TA-01 Area: Electronics Roll Number: 210010 Name: A VIJAY KUMAR Trade test date: 25th October 2019 CBT exam date: 09th November 2019 Particulars of Information Sought: All my quires are related to ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019, Post Code: TA-01, Area: Electronics.

(1) Certified copy of my evaluated OMR answer sheet for the exam detailed above.

Reply to Point (1): Certified copy of your answer sheet along with the questions are attached herewith (total 39 pages).

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan,
Baba Gangnath Marg,
Munirka,
New Delhi-110067,
Fax: 26186536,
Helpline No.: 011-267675

Neelima Chakrabarty,
FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
Traffic and Transportation Area
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759

Encls: Your answer sheet (Total 39 pages)
2.
Reply from P.cell

o/c



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

RTI No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00011
Dated: 02/07/2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

Sub :First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 received online on 23/06/2020

Sir,

Your appeal as above with the RTI letter to FAA (attached) on dated 27.06.2020 received through email was submitted to the personnel cell for possible suitable available with them and the reply is as under:

The information sought: Details of Examination: Employment Notice No. and date: TECHNICIAN (1) AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANT WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Post Code: TA-01 Area: Electronics Roll Number: 210010 Name: A VIJAY KUMAR Trade test date: 25th October 2019 CBT exam date: 09th November 2019 Particulars of Information Sought: All my queries are related to ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019, Post Code: TA-01, Area: Electronics.

(1) Certified copy of my evaluated OMR answer sheet for the exam detailed above.

Reply to Point (1): No OMR Sheet can be provided as the exam was conducted online. Also the Responses marked by the Candidate do not come under the definition of "Information" under the RTI Act, 2005.


(11) Please inform me the Cut Off marks for Genl, SC, ST & OBC category candidates finally selected and recommended for appointment for the above post. (13) Please provide me the marks of selected candidates for the above post for trade test, paper 1, paper 2 & paper 3. (14) Please provide me the marks of not selected candidates for the above post for trade test, paper 1, paper 2 & paper 3.

Reply to Point (11, 13 & 14): The marks obtained by other candidates relate to Personal Information, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, and this would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. And no larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information. Therefore, the marks of other candidates could not be provided u/s 8 (j) of RTI Act, 2005. The Post Code TA-01 (Electronics), there was only 01 Unreserved Vacancy. The detail of selected candidate for the post is as under:-

Roll No. 210075, Marks in Trade Test (which was of qualifying nature), Paper I, II & III are 75, 84, 85 & 149 respectively. The Trade Test was of qualifying nature in which 50 Marks were set as cut off marks for qualifying the Trade Test.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan,
Baba Gangnath Marg,
Munirka,
New Delhi-110067,
Fax: 26186536,
Helpline No.: 011-267675


Neelima Chakrabarty, 24/07/2020
FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 105
Traffic and Transportation Area
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759

RTI Matter

Dated: 27.06.2020

Ref .no. CRRIN/A/E/20/00011, Dated: 23.06.2020

To

The First Appellant Authority
Mrs. Neelima Chakraborty
CSIR -Central Road Research Institute,
Delhi Mathura Road
New Delhi 110025

Subject:- Request for information under Section-6(1) of RTI Act.

Since I received CPIO's reply after 30 days, so I had register first appeal on 23.06.2020 with Ref .no. CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 copy is enclosed. Kindly consider this letter in continuation with registered appeal in RTI portal, Ref .no. CRRIN/A/E/20/00011, because I cannot re-register an appeal on RTI portal now. Also I am forwarding the same letter to your email id neelima.crrr@nic.in

Reference: - With respect to my RTI application dated 19.05.2020 CRRIN/R/E/20/00033, copy enclosed. I am not satisfied with the information given by CPIO to the point no. 1, 11, 13, 14. Ref no. CPIO/762 copy enclosed. Please direct the CPIO to give me the correct information. (c)

Respected Madam,

It has been observed that CPIO did not carefully examine my quires before attempting to answer them has given incorrect and unnecessary information which is not asked in my RTI application "CRRIN/R/E/20/00033", and wasted the stationary stuffs as well as manpower of the organization and also have charged extra fee for information which I haven't asked. Out of 49 pages information given by CPIO, only 8 pages contains the information I asked for **Post Code: TA-01, Area: Electronics**. In the RTI application It is clearly mentioned the statement "All my quires are related to ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019, Post Code: TA-01, Area: Electronics" Out of which remaining 41 pages contains unnecessary information which are irrelevant to my Application. Kindly direct the CPIO to refund the difference amount Rs.82 (per page Rs. 2) and take necessary action on CPIO for wasting my money as well as time.

Please supply me the information with respect to my RTI application dated 19.05.2020 CRRIN/R/E/20/00033 to the following points drafted below. (Refer to my application).

Reply to Point No 1. My intention was to know my responses. I thought the response recorded could be called as an OMR sheet, as per your reply "No OMR Sheet can be provided as the exam was conducted online". However, it should be possible to share my responses to the questions with me. I would like to know my responses.

Reply to Point No 11. Please note that CPIO reply to point no. 11 directs to refer web notice no. 1(686)/2019-PL dated 25.11.2019 which he has enclosed. However it does not answer to the point no. 11. In which, I had asked for cut off marks (i.e. Highest and the cut off marks). His enclosed copy consists only the list of selected candidates (final results), which I have not asked for. ^{It is also enclosed (D)}

Reply to Point No 13. CPIO denied stating [u/s 8(j) of RTI Act,2005]. However As per CIC Decision No. CIC/AB/A/2016/000624/SB Dated 15.06.2017 the statement is included "disclosing the list of successful candidates would not invade the privacy of third parties and would rather enhance transparency in recruitment process. Hence, the said information is not a personal information."

Again As per CIC Decision No. CIC/VS/A/2014/001096/SB Dated 20.01.2016 "directs the CPIO to upload the consolidated list containing the marks of the written exam as well of the interview with the names, roll number of only the selected candidates along with the examination center on the website in order to promote transparency."

Thus CPIO cannot take shelter mentioning u/s 8(j) of RTI Act,2005]

Reply to Point No 14. CPIO denied stating [u/s 8(j) of RTI Act,2005]. However As per your claim of personal information, it is noted that your organization had previously posted results along with roll no. of all the candidates irrespective of the result for the post Assistant (Gen/S&P/F&P) Grade III. For your kind consideration please refer link: <https://crridom.gov.in/content/marks-obtained-all-candidates-who-appeared-written-test-post-assistant-genspfp-grade-iii>. The copy is enclosed ^(CE) (Final marks in order of Highest to lowest) Written exam for Assistant Grade III.

Please justify how does it invade the privacy of third parties, as per your claim of personal information, especially in my case. If the marks of all the candidates hosted in website for Assistant (Gen/S&P/F&P) Grade III.

Encs. As above. (10 Pages)

A. Vijay Kumar
27.6.2020
(A VIJAY KUMAR)

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00012

Applicant Name	Vijay kumar A
Text of Appeal	<p>Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005. Dear sir/madam, i have attached my First appeal letter in the supporting document please find the attachment and kindly take necessary actions. Thanking you Regards Vijay Kumar A</p> <p>OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRRI/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 Dated: 11/08/2020 To, Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 received online on 28/07/2020 pertaining to recruitment of Technician (1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated :07.03.2019 under RTI –Act 2005 Sir, With reference to the First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 dated 28/07/2020 received from your appeal against the RTI dated 05.07.2020 under RTI Act , 2005 . Reply of this section:- Point 01 and 02: The information asked by the applicant is attached duly certified by the So (Personnel) section pertaining to certified copies of seven pages as described below: Page 1: Notice dated 15th Dec 2017 : Sub: Selection for the Post of Assistant (Gen ,S7P,F7A) Grade III & Wait List/Reserve Panel of candidates with respect to the written test/exam held at CRRI on 25/11/2017-REG. Page 2: Annexure -1 to CSIR-CRRI Notice No 1(1663)/2015-PL Dated 15.12.2017: Wait List /Reserve Panel(in the order of Merit) of the candidates with respect to the written test/exam held on 25/11/2017 at CSIR-CRRI Page 3: NOTICE NO 1(686)/2019-PL DATED 25TH NOVEMBER 2019 ,SUBJECT : LIST OF SELECTED CANDIDATES FOR THE POSTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANT ADVERTISED VIDE CRRI ADVT NO .01/PC/TECH-2019 DATED 07.03.2019-FINAL RESULT-reg –page 1 of 2 Page -4 : NOTICE NO 1(686)/2019-PL DATED 25TH NOVEMBER 2019 ,SUBJECT : LIST OF SELECTED CANDIDATES FOR THE POSTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANT ADVERTISED VIDE CRRI ADVT NO .01/PC/TECH-2019 DATED 07.03.2019-FINAL RESULT-reg. –page 2 of 2 Page 5: Corrigendum No.1 (686) /2019-PL, Sub: Marks of Selected Candidates for the Posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRRI advt.No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg. page 1/3 Page 6: Annexure A- Sub: Marks of Selected Candidates for the Posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRRI advt.No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg. page 2/3 Page 7: Annexure A- Sub: Marks of Selected Candidates for the Posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRRI advt.No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg. page 3/3 These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. The attached documents will be sent by post also. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, Fax: 26186536, Helpline No.: 011-267675 Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRRI) Room Number N 106 Traffic and Transportation Area CRRI, Mathura Road New-Delhi 110025 Phone: 9810448759</p>
Reply of Appeal	

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action By	Remarks
			<input type="button" value="Print"/>	

1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/07/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	28/07/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	29/07/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send me the entire correspondence files
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	11/08/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

[Print](#)



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00012

Dated: 11/08/2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614.
vijaykumaruve@gmail.com

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 received online on 28/07/2020 pertaining to recruitment of Technician (1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated :07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005

Sir,

With reference to the First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 dated 28/07/2020 received from your appeal against the RTI dated 05.07.2020 under RTI Act, 2005.

Reply of this section:- Point 01 and 02:

The information asked by the applicant is attached duly certified by the So (Personnel) section pertaining to certified copies of seven pages as described below:

Page 1: Notice dated 15th Dec 2017 : Sub: Selection for the Post of Assistant (Gen ,B7P,FTA) Grade III & Wait List/Reserve Panel of candidates with respect to the written test/exam held at CRRI on 25/11/2017-REG.

Page 2: Annexure -1 to CSIR-CRRI Notice No 1(1663)/2015-PL Dated 15.12.2017: Wait List /Reserve Panel(in the order of Merit) of the candidates with respect to the written test/exam held on 25/11/2017 at CSIR-CRRI

Page 3: NOTICE NO 1(686)/2019-PL DATED 25th NOVEMBER 2019 ,SUBJECT : LIST OF SELECTED CANDIDATES FOR THE POSTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANT ADVERTISED VIDE CRR/ADVT NO .01/PC/TECH-2019 DATED 07.03.2019-FINAL RESULT-reg. -page 1 of 2.

Page -4 : NOTICE NO 1(686)/2019-PL DATED 25th NOVEMBER 2019 ,SUBJECT : LIST OF SELECTED CANDIDATES FOR THE POSTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANT ADVERTISED VIDE CRR/ADVT NO .01/PC/TECH-2019 DATED 07.03.2019-FINAL RESULT-reg. -page 2 of 2.

Page 5: Corrigendum No.1 (686) /2019-PL, Sub: Marks of Selected Candidates for the Posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRR/adv.No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg. page 1of 3.

Page 6: Annexure A- Sub: Marks of Selected Candidates for the Posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRR/adv.No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg. page 2 of 3.

Page 7: Annexure A- Sub: Marks of Selected Candidates for the Posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRR/adv.No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg. page 3 of 3.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 10 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below

Second Appellate Officer,
Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan,
Baba Gangnath Marg,
Munirka,
New Delhi-110067,
Fax: 26186536, Helpline No.: 011-257675

Neelima Chakrabarty,
FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
Traffic and Transportation Area
CRRI, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759

Neelima Chakrabarty
11/08/2020

**CSIR-CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
DELHI-MATHURA ROAD,
P.O. CRR, NEW DELHI-25**

File no: 1053/2015-PL

NOTICE

Dated: 15th December 2017

SUBJECT: SELECTION FOR THE POSTS OF ASSISTANT (GEN S&P F&A) GRADE II & WAIT LIST/ RESERVE PANEL OF CANDIDATES WITH RESPECT TO THE WRITTEN TEST/EXAM HELD AT CRR ON 26/11/2017-REG

In pursuance of the Written Test/Exam which was held on 26th November 2017 (Saturday) at CSIR-CRR for the aforesaid posts advertised vide Advertisement No. CSIR-CRR/PC/04/LDC/2015 dated 07.09.2015 & Corrigendum dated 27.09.2015, the undersigned is directed to notify the list of the selected candidates and the Wait list (Category wise) in the order of merit as under:-

Ser. no.	Roll no.	Name of the candidate	Date of Birth of the candidate (dd/mm/yyyy)	Father's name	Category	Post for which selected
1	10334	Sh. Anurag	01.04.1993	Sh. Rajiv	OBC	Assistant (General) Grade II
2	10285	Sh. Sachin Kumar	22.05.1991	Sh. Ch. Prakash	SC	Assistant (General) Grade III
3	10371	Sh. Hemish Mahra	01.02.1990	Sh. Jender Mahra	Gen	Assistant (General) Grade III
4	10471	Sh. Ranaj Singh	15.08.1986	Sh. Supendra Singh	Gen	Assistant (General) Grade III
5	10390	Sh. Niranjan Kumar Srivastav	23.11.1988	Sh. Ravindra Kumar Srivastav	Gen	Assistant (General) Grade III
6	10259	Ms. Parul Jain	13.12.1990	Sh. Sheetal Chand Jain	Gen	Assistant (General) Grade III
7	10633	Sh. Ashok Kumar	18.06.1985	Sh. Ravi Shankar	SC	Assistant (General) Grade III
8	10643	Sh. Umesh	15.04.1991	Sh. Bhagirat Singh	OBC	Assistant (General) Grade II
9	10308	Ms. Neha Sami	14.06.1993	Late Sh. Madan Lal	OBC	Assistant (General) Grade II
10	10340	Sh. Karish	19.01.1994	Sh. Vin Archarya	Gen	Assistant (Store & Purchase) Grade III
11	10214	Ms. Deepa Rana	01.07.1994	Sh. Heera Singh Rana	Gen	Assistant (Finance & Accounts) Grade III
12	10309	Ms. Tannu Bhatnagar	10.02.1993	Sh. L.M. Bhatnagar	Gen	Assistant (Finance & Accounts) Grade III

*Reserved category candidates who have attained marks equal to or more than the General Category candidates in the merit list and have not sought any kind of relaxation (in age, marks etc.) have been adjusted in the order of merit against Unreserved (UR) vacancy. After the UR vacancies have been exhausted by adjusting such reserved Category candidates against the UR points, the next candidates in the merit list belonging to Reserved Category have been adjusted against their own respective Category.

--- Inserted in the list previously subject to receipt production of his application THROUGH PROPER CHANNEL from his Employer.

- The above list has been drawn on the basis of the marks obtained in the order of merit and taking into account the category of the candidate (in General or reserved) and the preference of the candidate (in either C-1, C-2, C-3) and subject to fulfillment of all the terms and conditions mentioned in the CRR advertisement dated 07/09/2015.
- In case, where two or more candidates have scored equal marks, the candidate senior in age has been placed above in the merit list.
- One post of Assistant (Stores & Purchase) Grade II has been left vacant due to non availability of the candidate belonging to the Person with Disability (PWD - Visually Handicapped) Category.
- It is informed that in pursuance of CSIR circular no 5-1(315)/2015-PO/Adm. dated 08.11.2017, the designation of Assistant (General) Grade II has been re-designated as Junior Secretariat Assistant (Gen); Assistant (Stores & Purchase) Grade III as Junior Secretariat Assistant (S&P); and Assistant (Finance & Accounts) Grade III as Junior Secretariat Assistant (F&A).

Further, the wait list/ reserve panel of the candidates may be seen at Annexure-1. The wait list shall be valid for a period of one year from the date of issue of this notification. In case, any of the selected candidates does not accept the offer does not join / resigns / vacates the post due to any reason, during the validity period of the Wait list, the next candidate from the wait list/ reserve panel shall be offered the post, in the order of merit and also taking into account his Category (SC/OBC/Gen) and his order of preference of post code.

Copy to:

- HOD (CCN)- with the request to upload this Notice on the CRR website
- Notice Boards
- Office Copy

Anurag
10/12/2017

(Signature)
15/12/2017
(ANJUM SHARMA)
CONTROLLER OF ADMINISTRATION

Certified

(Signature)
10/12/2017
राजेश शर्मा
अनुभाग अधिकारी (सा)

(Signature)
11/08/2018
FAA

ANNEXURE -1 to CSIR-CRRI NOTICE No. 1(563)/2015-PI Dated 15.12.2017

WAIT LIST/RESERVE PANEL IN THE ORDER OF MERIT OF THE CANDIDATES WITH RESPECT TO THE WRITTEN TEST/EXAM HELD ON 29/11/2017 AT CSIR-CRRI:-

WAIT LIST IN RESPECT OF GENERAL CATEGORY CANDIDATES :-

Serial no.	Roll no.	Name of the candidate	Date of birth of candidate (dd/mm/yy)	Father's name	Husbands	Category	Order of preference of Post Code
1	10266	Ms. Anubha Mahajan	13.10.1989	Sh. Arun Kumar Mahajan		General	C1
2	10230	Sh. Amit Mann	18.04.1991	Late Sh. Lajak Ram		General	C1, C2
3	10230	Sh. Sourabh Kumar Jain	16.06.1990	Sh. Mahendra Kumar Jain		General	C1, C2, C3
4	10375	Sh. Aman Malik	13.01.1991	Sh. Ramash Chander		General	C1, C2, C3
5	10106	Ms. Nancy Gupta	27.06.1994	Sh. Surender Gupta		General	C1, C2
6	10218	Ms. Nisha Mathur	08.12.1987	Sh. R.P. Mathur		General	C1, C2
7	10114	Ms. Sarita Bhardwaj	05.02.1998	Sh. Rakesh		General	C1, C2
8	10213	Ms. Laxmi Rawat	05.11.1991	Sh. Hira Singh Rawat		General	C1, C2

WAIT LIST IN RESPECT OF SCHEDULED CASTE (SC) CANDIDATES :-

Serial no.	Roll no.	Name of the candidate	Date of birth of candidate (dd/mm/yy)	Father's name	Husbands	Category	Order of preference of Post Code
1	10212	Ms. Nishi Gautam	23.11.1998	Sh. Jagdish Chaud		SC	C1, C2, C3
2	10270	Ms. Meena	05.01.1991	Sh. Ganesh		SC	C1, C2, C3
3	10290	Sh. Ravinder Kurnat	26.02.1990	Sh. Shiv Charan		SC	C1, C2, C3
4	10249	Sh. Baldev Bheskar	01.12.1984	Sh. Hari Lal		SC	C1, C2, C3

WAIT LIST IN RESPECT OF OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES (OBC) CANDIDATES :-

Serial no.	Roll no.	Name of the candidate	Date of birth of candidate (dd/mm/yy)	Father's name	Husbands	Category	Order of preference of Post Code
1	10560	Sh. Sumit Pal	27.05.1988	Sh. Bhim Singh		OBC	C1, C2, C3
2	10611	Sh. Mohd. Asim	27.11.1995	Sh. Mohd. Hafeez		OBC	C1
3	10427	Sh. Jaehendra Kumar	06.10.1991	Sh. Anil Prasad		OBC	C1, C2
4	10551	Sh. Mohd. Iqbal Hussain	02.10.1987	Sh. Mohd. Noor Hassan		OBC	C1, C2, C3

Explanation :-

C1 = Assistant (General) Grade III —> now redesignated as Junior Secretariat Assistant (Gen)
 C2 = Assistant (Store & Purchase) Grade III —> now redesignated as Junior Secretariat Assistant (S&P)
 C3 = Assistant (Finance & Accounts) Grade III —> now redesignated as Junior Secretariat Assistant (F&A)

Amrit
10/8/2020

Certified
Rajni
राजनी सिन्हा
अनुभाग अधिकारी (साग)

15/12/2017
(ANUPAM SHARMA)
CONTROLLER OF ADMINISTRATION

Chandrabati
14/05/2020
FAA

**CSIR-CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
DELHI-MATHURA ROAD, NEW DELHI-110025**

NOTICE

No. 1(686)/2019-PL

Dated: 25th November, 2019

SUBJECT: LIST OF SELECTED CANDIDATES FOR THE POSTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANT ADVERTISED VIDE CRRI ADVT NO.01/PC/TECH-2019 DATED 07.03.2019 – FINAL RESULT –reg.

It is notified for information of all concerned that CSIR-CRRI has prepared the final merit list on the basis of marks obtained by the candidates in the Computer Based Objective Type Multiple Choice Examination held on 09.11.2019 for the posts of Technical Assistant in accordance with CSIR Circular No.5-1(315)/2015-PD dated 23.09.2019. The final merit list of selected candidates which has been prepared post code wise is as follows :-

POST CODE: TA-01, Area: Electronics – 01 Post reserved for UR category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	210075	Amit Shekhar	Bishan Dass	31-03-1997	SC- Own Merit

POST CODE: TA-02, Area: Mechanical – 02 Post reserved for UR category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	220083	Saurabh Kumar Verma	Nand Kumar	07-10-1999	OBC- Own Merit
02	220096	Dinesh Kumar Mandal	Bidhan Chandra Mandal	11-12-1995	UR

POST CODE: TA-03, Area: Electrical – 01 Post reserved for OBC category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	230016	Avala Narayana Rao	A. Appala Naidu	04-12-1996	OBC

POST CODE: TA-04, Area: Computer – 01 Post reserved for UR category, 01 Post reserved for EWS and 01 Post reserved for OBC.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	240010	Muzahid Hussain	Tahir Hussain	28-11-1994	OBC- Own Merit
02	240001	Prakash Singh	Babun Singh	17-06-1992	EWS
03	240076	Rajesh Kumar	Ramsharan Prasad Yadav	15-11-1989	OBC

5 NOV 2019

(01 of 02)

(3)

राजेश कुमार
अनुभाग अधिकारी (सा.ग.)

11/08/2019
FAA

POST CODE: TA-05, Area: Hotel Management – 01 Post reserved for UR category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	250002	Jitender Kumar Yadav	Khem Chand	08-12-1988	OBC- Own Merit (Govt. Employee)

POST CODE: TA-06, Area: Library Science – 01 Post reserved for UR category.


Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	260022	Satyajit Nayak	Sarata Chandra Nayak	25-05-1993	UR

POST CODE: TA-07, Area: Civil Engineering – 02 Post reserved for UR category, 01 Post reserved for EWS category, 02 Posts reserved for OBC category & 01 Post reserved for SC category.

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Fathers Name	Date of Birth	Category
01	270134	Keshav Kaushik	Satish Kaushik	21-08-1994	UR
02	270044	Mayank Grover	Rajeev Grover	11-01-1992	UR
03	270208	Rohit Chamoli	Ramesh Chandra Chamoli	09-03-1993	EWS
04	270088	Mohd. Akil	Abdul Gani	17-08-1995	OBC
05	270242	Ankit Kumar Nishad	Rajendra Kumar Nishad	19-11-1994	OBC
06	270075	Ratan Bishwash	Suresh Kumar Bishwash	11-11-1994	SC

The aforementioned candidates will be duly informed by CSIR-CRRI to complete the pre-appointment formalities by SPEED POST.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.


(ANJUM SHARMA)
Controller of Administration

Copy to:-

1. Head, CCN
2. NOTICE BOARDS
3. Office Copy.

To upload the above notice on CRRI Website.

certified

Amritha
10/01/2020

सिरी
10/01/2020
अनुभाग अधिकारी (सिरी)

(02 of 02)

④

Amritha
11/01/2020
PM

CSIR-CENTRAL ROAD RESERACH INSTITUTE
DELHI-MATHURA ROAD, NEW DELHI-110025

No.1(686)/2019-PL

Dated: 10th Aug, 2020


CORRIGENDUM

Subject: Marks of Selected Candidates for the posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRRJ
adv. No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 -reg.

In partial modification to the Notice No. 1(686)/2019-PL dated 06th August, 2020, following is notified:-

The "Sum Total (Paper-I & II)" mentioned in the notice dated 06.08.2020, at the last column of each table, may be read as "Sum Total (Paper II & III)"

Other contents of the Notice dated 06th August, 2020 shall remain unchanged. The marks of the selected candidates are again notified with the above amendments as per **Annexure- A**.



(SANJEEV SHANKAR)
Administrative Officer

Copy to:-

1. HoD CCN - to kindly upload the CORRIGENDUM on CRRJ website
2. All Notice Boards


10/08/2020


10/08/2020
राजन शिवली
अनुमान अधिकारी (CCN)


11/08/2020
FAA

Annexure - A

Marks of Selected Candidates for the posts of Technical Assistant advertised vide CRRI advt. No.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019-reg.

Post Code : TA-01, Area: Electronics, 01 Unreserved post

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	210075	Amit Shekhar	SC - own merit	84	55	149	204

Post Code : TA-02, Area: Mechanical, 02 Unreserved Post

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	220083	Saurabh Kumar Verma	OBC - own merit	90	82	179	261
02	220096	Dinesh Kumar Mandal	UR	92	54	150	204

Post Code : TA-03, Area: Electrical, 01 Post reserved for OBC

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	230016	Avala Narayana Rao	OBC	76	35	262	297

Post Code : TA-04, Area: Computer, [01 post Unreserved, 01 reserved for EWS and 01 for OBC]

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	240010	Muzahid Hussain	OBC - own merit	82	45	123	168
02	240001	Prakash Singh	EWS	90	77	70	147
03	240076	Rajesh Kumar	OBC	60	24	140	164

Post Code : TA-05, Area: Hotel Management, 01 Unreserved Post

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	250002	Jitender Kumar Yadav	OBC-own merit	60	16	70	86

Amrit
17/04/2020

6

15/12
16/08/2020
राजल तिली
अनुभाग अधिकारी (I)

28/1
10/8/2020
11/09/2020

Post Code : TA-06, Area: Library Science, 01 Unreserved Post

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	260022	Satyajit Nayak	UR	68	58	176	234

Post Code : TA-07, Area: Civil Engineering, [02 post Unreserved, 01 post reserved for EWS, 02 posts reserved for OBC & 01 post reserved for SC]

S.No.	Roll No.	Name	Category	Marks Obtained			Sum Total (Paper-II & Paper-III)
				Paper-I (100 marks)	Paper-II (150 marks)	Paper-III (300 marks)	
01	270134	Keshav Kaushik	UR	100	97	169	266
02	270044	Mayank Grover	UR	70	70	187	257
03	270208	Rohit Chamoli	EWS	84	26	198	224
04	270088	Mohd. Akil	OBC	78	69	181	250
05	270242	Ankit Kumar Nishad	OBC	74	69	175	244
06	270075	Ratan Bishwash	SC	92	37	131	168

*Amish
Tiwari*

20/8/2020
10/08/2020
राजन सिंघी
अनुभाग अधिकारी

20/8/2020
10/08/2020

Cheluvu
11/08/2020
FAA

7

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00013

Applicant Name	Vijay kumar A
Text of Appeal	<p>Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005. Dear sir/madam, i have attached my RTI FIRST APPEAL Application letter in the supporting document please find the attachment and kindly take necessary actions. Thanking you Regards Vijay Kumar A</p> <p>OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 Dated: 08th September 2020</p> <p>To, Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 received online on 27/08/2020 Sir, With reference to the above Appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply received on 07th September 2020 is as under: Your Queries: Point no 1: Please give me the approval copy for publishing the (Final marks in order of Highest to lowest) Written exam for Assistant Grade III information in the official CRRRI website (https://crridom.gov.in/content/marks-obtained-all-candidates-who-appearedwritten-test-post-assistant-gensfpf-grade-iii). Point no 2. As per the point no 1 described. Please give me the approval copy, which allowed the marks of all the candidates who appeared, to publish on CRRRI website. for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Note: point no1 and point no2 belongs to same organization, the rules/ procedures would be same for both as per CSIR. Point no 3. Under "Section 2(j) of RTI Act,2005, please give permission for inspection of certified copies of documents or records; and the online exam data extracted information is stored in a computer or in any other device for the for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Point no 4. Please give me MARKS OF SELECTED CANDIDATES that was already certified before publishing the results for appointment order for 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019. According to CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 I received a copy of selected candidates along with marks which is a CORRIGENDUM after modification and dated on 10th Aug, 2020 attached Annexure 5 (i & ii). After the information was sought, the information is created to answer the query which is false information and PIO suppose not to create or modify any documents or records to answer any queries. Reply received for the above queries are as under: All the information in respect to point 01, 02, 03 & 04 as available in the office has already been provided to you through the replies to the various earlier RTI applications and First Appeals. However, information provided to you in your last RTI No CRRINR/E/20/00042 may be reiterated again. These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, Fax: 26186536, Helpline No.: 011-267675 From Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRRRI) Room Number N 106 CRRRI, Mathura Road New-Delhi 110025 Phone: 9810448759</p>
Reply of Appeal	

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
-----	--------------	----------------	-----------------	---------

Print

1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	27/08/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	28/08/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	31/08/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Send me the required document
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	08/09/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

[Print](#)

To

Dated: 27.08.2020

The FAA,
CSIR -Central Road Research Institute,
Delhi Mathura Road
New Delhi-110025

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005.

Dear sir/madam,

Reply to point Nos. 1, 2 & 4. If no approval, copy exists and no further information is available in the office. Then,

For **point No. 1**, the file noting related Assistant Grade III query asked in RTI application may be provided from starting till end.

For **point No. 2**, the data published for the point no 1 in the http link as described in RTI application. The similar data which would have been prepared for for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019 before publishing the results may be provided.

For **point No. 4**, According to CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 I received a copy of selected candidates along with marks, which is a CORRIGENDUM after modification and dated on 10th Aug, 2020. Then According to CRRIN/A/E/20/00012. The reply should have been given, as no further information is available in the office. But after the information was sought, the information is created to answer the query, which is false as well as wrong and ambiguous. Please give me MARKS OF SELECTED CANDIDATES that was already certified before publishing the results for appointment order for 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019.

Reply to point No 3. It is requested to please give me permission for inspection.

The inspection is required by the applicant for transparency because of following reasons below

1. Not seeking for information by the applicant in this application.
2. Not seeking for information by the applicant in this application.
3. The already provided document/information of Marks of applicant in earlier RTI application may be provided with RTI No. mentioning.
4. Marks of selected candidates in CBT is provided is wrong and ambiguous.
5. Not seeking for information by the applicant in this application.
6. Not seeking for information by the applicant in this application.
7. All the relevant information available asked in this application is not provided.

Thus it is requested Under "Section 2(j) of RTI Act,2005, please give permission for inspection of certified copies of documents or records; and the online exam data extracted information is stored in a computer or in any other device for the for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019.

Thanking you;

sd/-

Vijay Kumar A



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00013

Dated: 08th September 2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

10/9/2020

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 received online on 27/08/ 2020

Sir,

With reference to the above Appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply received on 07th September 2020 is as under:

Your Queries: Point no 1: Please give me the approval copy for publishing the (Final marks in order of Highest to lowest) Written exam for Assistant Grade III information in the official CRR website (<https://crridom.gov.in/content/marks-obtained-all-candidates-who-appeared-written-test-post-assistant-grade-iii>).

Point no 2. As per the point no 1 described. Please give me the approval copy, which allowed the marks of all the candidates who appeared, to publish on CRR website. for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Note: point no1 and point no2 belongs to same organization, the rules/ procedures would be same for both as per CSIR.

Point no 3. Under 'Section 2(j) of RTI Act,2005, please give permission for inspection of certified copies of documents or records; and the online exam data extracted information is stored in a computer or in any other device for the for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019.

Point no 4. Please give me MARKS OF SELECTED CANDIDATES that was already certified before publishing the results for appointment order for 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019. According to CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 I received a copy of selected candidates along with marks which is a CORRIGENDUM after modification and dated on 10th Aug, 2020 attached Annexure 5 (i & ii). After the information was sought, the information is created to answer the query which is false information and PIO suppose not to create or modify any documents or records to answer any queries.

Reply received for the above queries are as under:

All the information in respect to point 01, 02, 03 & 04 as available in the office has already been provided to you through the replies to the various earlier RTI applications and First Appeals. However, information provided to you in your last RTI No CRRIN/E/20/00042 may be reiterated again.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
Central Information Commission CIC Bhawan,
Baba Gangnath Marg,
Munirka,
New Delhi-110067,
Fax: 26186536,
Helpline No.: 011-267675

Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759

Ends (2 pages)

07c

F.No. 2(119)/2014-RTI-PL
PERSONNEL CELL
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi

Dated: 07.09.2020

Sub: Reply to First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 dated 01.09.2020 received Online by the appellant Sh. Vijay Kumar A pertaining to Recruitment of Technician(1) and Technical Assistant advertised vide Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated: 07.03.2019 under RTI-Act 2005.

With reference to the First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 dated 01.09.2020 received from Sh. Vijay Kumar A, appealing against the RTI No. 787 dated 14.08.2020 (CRRIN/E/20/00042 dated 13.08.2020) under RTI Act, 2005.

In this connection, the reply of this section is as under:

Reply: All the information in respect of point 01, 02, 03 & 04, as available in the office, has already been provided to the appellant through the replies to his various earlier RTI applications and First Appeals. However, information provided to him in his last RTI No. CRRIN/E/20/00042 dated 13.08.2020 may be reiterated again.

In view of the above, the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI may kindly see for approval so that the applicant will be replied accordingly through FAA, CRRI.

Submitted please.



Amrit
07/09/2020
CRRIN/SH)

SOB

COA-20

DRRI

AA
07/09/2020
(A/1 DHT)

AA
07/09/2020

2/1
07/09/2020

As approved above, FAA may kindly take further necessary action plz.

SO(B)
L

SO(A)
FAA, CRRI

Amrit
07/09/2020

AA
07/09/2020

AA
07/09/2020

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00014**Applicant Name**

Vijay kumar A

Text of Appeal

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005. Dear sir/madam, i have attached my First Appeal APPLICATION letter in the supporting document please find the attachment and kindly take necessary actions. Thanking you Regards Vijay Kumar A

Reply of Appeal

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00014 Dated: 18th September 2020 To, Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 received online on 27/08/2020 Sir, With reference to the above Appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply received on 15th September 2020 is as under: Your Queries: 1. It is requested to provide file noting from beginning till end for Avdt no. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019 2. It is requested to provide Sanction strength for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019. Reply received for the above queries are as under: All the information in respect to point 01& 02 as available in the office has already been provided to you through the replies to the earlier RTI No. CRRINR/E/20/00045. However, information provided to you in your last RTI No CRRINR/E/20/00045 dated 18.08.2020 may be reiterated again. Details of the replies already sent to you will be sent again by email and by posts. These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067 Fax: 26186536 Helpline No.: 011-26183053 Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR) Room Number N 106 CRR, Mathura Road New-Delhi 110025 Phone: 9810448759

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	12/09/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	12/09/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	14/09/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send the required information
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	18/09/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

Print

To

Dated: 12.09.2020

The FAA,
CSIR -Central Road Research Institute,
Delhi Mathura Road
New Delhi-110025

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005.

Point no 1, not answered.

Point no 2, not given correct information Its incomplete information : has no date no reference nor certified. **Requested to provide Sanction strength for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019. the number of sanctioned vacancies , the vacancies under pool, the vacancies filled, please give the strength details.**

Please take necessary action against the CPIO for this kinds of negligence, same negligence has also been observed in earlier RTI applications also.

Note: It has been observed from earlier RTI Applications till date:-

- A. The information asked by the applicant is not provided giving reasons all available information is already provided and no further information is available.
- B. The created information is provided after the information was sought by the applicant
- C. Inspection request is denied by giving same reason as mentioned in point A.
- D. Replies were answered by combining the points and misguiding the applicant.
- E. Even though it is requested to mention the RTI reference number by the applicant, if the quires were answered in earlier RTI applications, reply was given as reiterated again.

F. Also mentioned no further information regarding the Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019 will be entertained trying to misguide the applicant.

G. Though the information asked does fall under preview of RTI ACT, information was rejected by the CPIO and FAA saying the information sought does not fall under preview of RTI ACT. But after continuous appealing the information was given for specific query, which shows that the authorities were yet again trying to misguide the applicant intentionally.

H. In many of the RTI applications the reply given was “reiterated again” by diverting the RTI application quires, combining without mentioning the reason/reference correctly.

I. The certified copy by the authorities provided to the applicant for same query in two different RTI Applications, the information provided is created and are not matching in terms of information/data and inspection is also denied.

J. CPIO Disposing the RTI Application intentionally without answering the quires.

Thanking you;

sd/-

Vijay Kumar A



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00014

Dated: 18th September 2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

2-11/1759
18/09/20
DISPATCHED
स्थापना-11, सीआरआरआई
दिनांक 18/09/2020
सं 01759

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00014 received online on 12/09/ 2020

Sir,

With reference to the above Appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply received on 15th September 2020 is as under:

Your Queries:

1. It is requested to provide file noting from beginning till end for Avdt no. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019
2. It is requested to provide Sanction strength for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019.

Reply received for the above queries are as under:

All the information in respect to point 01& 02 as available in the office has already been provided to you through the replies to the earlier RTI No. CRRINR/E/20/00045. However, information provided to you in your last RTI No CRRINR/E/20/00045 dated 18.08.2020 may be reiterated again.

Details of the replies already sent to you , are sending to you again by post. However, in the online reply in the sub First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00014 was printed as CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 which is by mistake and corrected here. Rest of the subject matter is same.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067
Fax: 26186536
Helpline No.: 011-26183053
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Neelima Chakrabarty
18/09/2020
Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00015**Applicant Name**

Vijay kumar A

Text of Appeal

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005. Dear sir/madam, i have attached my First Appeal APPLICATION letter in the supporting document please find the attachment and kindly take necessary actions. Thanking you Regards Vijay Kumar A

Reply of Appeal

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 Dated: 6th Oct. 2020 To, Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 received online on 23/09/2020 Sir, With reference to the above appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply is as under: Reply received for the above quarries are as under: PUC are First Appeal application number CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 dated 23.09.2020 received from Sh. Vijay Kumar A. against his RTI application number CRRIN/R/E/20/00048 dated 28.08.2020 and CRRIN/R/E/20/00051 dated 09/09.2020 seeking information regarding recruitment of technical assistant Advt.no.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 and since past he is continuously filing multiple RTIs and First Appeals on the same subject. As the competent authority had already approved previously (F/A) that as per CIC decision no CIC/BS/A/2014/002319-SA dated 18.03.2016 which has also endorsed by CSIR HQs vide letter number 5-1(126)/2009-PD dated 19.02.2018, No RTI of the applicant on the subject will be answered. As the above two First Appeal Applications are on same subject, therefore the decision made by the competent authority is again communicated. These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below: Second Appellate Officer, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067 Fax: 26186536 Helpline No.: 011-26183053 Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	23/09/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	23/09/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	28/09/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send the required information
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	06/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

Print

To

Date: 23.09.2020

The FAA,
CSIR -Central Road Research Institute,
Delhi Mathura Road
New Delhi-110025

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005.

Reference: CRRIN/R/E/20/00048 dated 28/08/2020

Dear sir/madam

It is requested to examine the RTI Application quires and the replies sent by the CPIO and provide me the correct information.

I request you to provide only the information, which are sought in the current RTI application.

I kindly request you to please not to reply saying that not all the available information is already given in earlier applications as, the reply given was inappropriate. According to my observations, the information sought in this application was not given in any of the earlier RTI replies. If the information is already given, please provide me the copy of given information one more time instead of replying as "reiterated again".

The reason to send so many applications as you say is due to your reluctance to answer my queries. Authorities are trying hard to deviate from my questions and answering in the manner they want, instead of answering to the queries asked. Also it is being replied saying that all the available information is already provided and no further information is available to deviate from my questions. It is been observed that the trick is being played the public authorities when asked for specific question in RTI, PIO replies answering that all the available information is already provided failing to answer the asked queries. But when applicant asked for file noting related to the respective Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019, PIO asks the applicant to be specific about the contents or pages, thereby clearly showing that PIO is twisting the information and not providing the required factual information. PIO also went on to say that applicant is harassing the PIO, by repeated applications when all the information is already provided. I clearly want to inform that, some of my queries went unanswered and most of them were replied with twisted or created information. It was further noticed that initially CPIO replied that information cannot be provided and same was said by FAA, but when asked for inspection in continuation appeals with FAA, information was created with new OM and then provided just to solve the queries after the information was sought. It clearly shows that, PIO is harassing the applicant and also wasting resources, stationary and valuable time.

I would like to bring it to your notice regarding-

The RTI applications were duly replied rather than providing the duly/correct information:-

Ref no CRRIN/R/E/20/00045 that, the RTI had disposed online with reply where the first question among the two questions asked was not answered and the second one was misguiding. When asked again in first appeal, FAA replied applicant that the CPIO has already

replied to the above mentioned reference number and same to be reiterated again, whereas the applicant had received the reply without covering letter and no information/incomplete information was provided for the queries asked. When new RTI applications were raised, CPIO replied saying that all the available information is already provided and have duly replied.

Ref no CRRIN/A/E/20/00011, the applicant received the reply containing information to specific query and ref no CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 the same information was asked again but the replied received at this time was different from earlier information provided. In both the cases the information is created after the information was sought.

Ref no CRRIN/R/E/20/00033, The applicant had asked for his own response attempted during online exam, but the reply was given As per CPIO understanding “No OMR Sheet can be provided as the exam was conducted online. Later FAA with reference no CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 “Responses marked by the Candidate do not come under the definition of “Information” under the RTI Act, 2005”, again when the applicant asked for inspection request the reply given was “ all the candidates data is at single place so inspection is rejected, the response sheet was provided to reject inspection after multiple continuous request.

Ref no CRRIN/R/E/20/00044, CRRIN/R/E/20/00043 and CRRIN/R/E/20/00042 are multiple applications due to technical error and the same was also intimated through mail with subject “multiple applications registered with same matter due to technical issue” on 16.08.2020 to naresh.crri@nic.in, cpio.crri@nic.in, ashoksagar.crri@nic.in and asked to process only CRRIN/R/E/20/00042.

Ref no CRRIN/R/E/20/00040, CRRIN/R/E/20/00042 and CRRIN/A/E/20/00013. Inspection request was rejected giving reply as all the available information is already provided in earlier RTI applications and no further information is available.

Ref no CRRIN/R/E/20/00045 The file noting is not provided but diverted the query to ask for specific pages or contents even though it was asked specifically. The sanction strength asked for specific advertisement the reply received nowhere links to the advertisement.

It has also been observed that :-

- A. The information asked by the applicant is not provided giving reasons all available information is already provided and no further information is available.
- B. The created information is provided after the information was sought by the applicant
- C. Inspection request is denied by giving same reason as mentioned in point A.
- D. Replies were answered by combining the points and misleading the applicant.
- E. Even though it is requested to mention the RTI reference number by the applicant, if the quires were answered in earlier RTI applications, reply was given as reiterated again.
- F. Also mentioned no further information regarding the Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019 will be entertained trying to misguide the applicant.
- G. Though the information asked does fall under preview of RTI ACT, information was rejected by the CPIO and FAA saying the information sought does not fall under preview of RTI ACT. But after continuous appealing the information was given for specific query, which shows that the authorities were yet again trying to misguide the applicant intentionally.

- H. In many of the RTI applications the reply given was “reiterated again” by diverting the RTI application quires, combining without mentioning the reason/reference correctly.
- I. The certified copy by the authorities provided to the applicant for same query in two different RTI Applications, the information provided is created and are not matching in terms of information/data and inspection is denied.
- J. CPIO Disposing the RTI Application intentionally without answering the quires.

sd/-

Thanking you;

Vijay Kumar A

Reply to CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 &16

From : Neelima <neelima.crri@nic.in>
Subject : Reply to CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 &16
To : vijay kumar <vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com>
Cc : Controller of Administration, CRR <coa.crri@nic.in>

Tue, Oct 06, 2020 05:30 PM

3 attachments

**OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025**

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 &16

Dated: 6th Oct. 2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 and CRRIN/A/E/20/00016 received online on 23/09/ 2020

Sir,

With reference to the above appeal, the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply is as under:

Reply received for the above queries are as under:

PUC is First Appeal application number CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 and CRRIN/A/E/20/00016 dated 23.09.2020 received from Sh. Vijay Kumar A. against his RTI application number CRRIN/R/E/20/00048 dated 28.08.2020 and CRRIN/R/E/20/00051 dated 09/09/2020 seeking information regarding recruitment of technical assistant Advt.no.01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 and since past he is continuously filing multiple RTIs and First Appeals on the same subject.

As the competent authority had already approved previously (F/A) that **as per CIC decision** no.CIC/BS/A/2014/002319-SA dated 18.03.2016 which has also endorsed by CSIR HQs vide letter number 5-1(126)/2009-PD dated 19.02.2018, **No RTI of the applicant on the subject will be answered.**

As the above two First Appeal Applications are on the same subject, therefore the decision made by the competent authority is again communicated.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Further, with regard to clarification for the reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067
Fax: 26186536
Helpline No.: 011-26183053
Email: fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty
Sr. Principal Scientist
Traffic Engineering and Safety Division
& FAA(RTI) , ICC Chairperson
Central Road Research Institute
New-Delhi-110025
Phone-9810448759

(Purity, patience and perseverance are the three essentials to success: Swami Vivekananda)



FAA reply RTI 00015.jpeg
1 MB



FAA reply to RTI 00016 to Vijay Kumar A.jpeg
1,007 KB

Applicant Name

Vijay kumar A

Text of Appeal

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005. Dear sir/madam, i have attached my First Appeal APPLICATION letter in the supporting document please find the attachment and kindly take necessary actions. Thanking you Regards Vijay Kumar A

Reply of Appeal

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL
ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No.
CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 & 18 Dated: 29th Oct. 2020 To,
Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-
9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub: RTI - First Appeal
Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 & 18 received online on
08/10/ 2020 Sir, With reference to the above appeal, the reply was
sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply is as under: Reply
received for the above queries are as under: PUC are First Appeal
Applications no.-17 and 18 received on dated 08.10.2020 received
from Sh. Vijay Kumar A. against his RTI application No.
CRR/RTI/20/00055 dated 18.09.2020 and CRR/RTI/20/00054 dated
18.09.2020 seeking information pertaining to recruitment of Technical
Assistant Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 under Right
to Information Act, 2005. In this regard, it is stated that Sh. Vijay
Kumar is seeking information regarding Recruitment of Technical
Assistant Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 and since
past he is continuously filing multiple RTIs and First Appeals on the
same subject. As the Competent Authority had already approved
previously that as per CIC decision No.CIC/BS/A/2014/002319-SA
dated 18.03.2016 which has also endorsed by CSIR HQs vide Letter
No. 5-1(126)/2009-PD dated 19.02.2018, no RTI of the applicant on
the subject will be answered. These replies are issued with the
approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to
clarification for the reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005,
CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create
information, but only to provide information in any form available to
the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file
a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-
2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication
with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are
given below: Second Appellate Officer, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath
Marg Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067 Fax: 26186536 Helpline No.:
011-26183053 Email: fdesk-cic@gov.in Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA
(CRR) Room Number N 106 CRR, Mathura Road New-Delhi
110025 Phone: 9810448759

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	08/10/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	09/10/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	21/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send me the related file immediately
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	29/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

Print

Applicant Name

Vijay kumar A

Text of Appeal

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005. Dear sir/madam, i have attached my First Appeal APPLICATION letter in the supporting document please find the attachment and kindly take necessary actions. Thanking you Regards Vijay Kumar A

Reply of Appeal

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL
ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025 No.
CRRI/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 & 18 Dated: 29th Oct. 2020 To,
Vijay Kumar A B 24 All India Radio Qtrs Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064 +91-
9632805614, vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com Sub: RTI - First Appeal
Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 & 18 received online on
08/10/ 2020 Sir, With reference to the above appeal, the reply was
sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply is as under: Reply
received for the above quarries are as under: PUC are First Appeal
Applications no.-17 and 18 received on dated 08.10.2020 received
from Sh. Vijay Kumar A. against his RTI application No.
CRRI/R/E/20/00055 dated 18.09.2020 and CRRI/R/E/20/00054 dated
18.09.2020 seeking information pertaining to recruitment of Technical
Assistant Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 under Right
to Information Act, 2005. In this regard, it is stated that Sh. Vijay
Kumar is seeking information regarding Recruitment of Technical
Assistant Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 and since
past he is continuously filing multiple RTIs and First Appeals on the
same subject. As the Competent Authority had already approved
previously that as per CIC decision No.CIC/BS/A/2014/002319-SA
dated 18.03.2016 which has also endorsed by CSIR HQs vide Letter
No. 5-1(126)/2009-PD dated 19.02.2018, no RTI of the applicant on
the subject will be answered. These replies are issued with the
approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to
clarification for the reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005,
CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create
information, but only to provide information in any form available to
the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file
a second appeal under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act-
2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication
with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are
given below: Second Appellate Officer, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath
Marg Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067 Fax: 26186536 Helpline No.:
011-26183053 Email: fdesk-cic@gov.in Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA
(CRRI) Room Number N 106 CRRI, Mathura Road New-Delhi
110025 Phone: 9810448759

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	08/10/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	09/10/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	21/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send me the details of the case immediately
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	29/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

Print

To

Dated: 08.10.2020

The FAA,
CSIR -Central Road Research Institute,
Delhi Mathura Road
New Delhi-110025

Subject:- Request for information under RTI Act 2005.

Dear sir/madam

Point No. 1, the file noting/log book copy related Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019. May be provided from starting till end. Which are specific to applicant details asked below:

The applicants as well as the selected candidates may be provided

THE ADVT. No.01/PC/TECH-2019 dated 07.03.2019.
Post Code: TA-01
Area: Electronics
Roll Number: 210010 and Roll Number: 210075

Point No. 2, the inspection request may be given for Avdt No. 01/PC/TECH2019 dated 07.03.2019. because the information asked in my earlier applications were not provided to me.

if all the information asked were given to the applicant as per RTI act 2005 before attempting to first appeal. There would have not been so many RTI applications. Just by highlighting, showing list of RTI applications and saying Duly replied is not the final decision the application has to accept.

It has been noted that:

Comments are made on applicant saying, "The applicant, Sh. Vijay Kumar A, was a candidate for the post of Technical Assistant advertised through Advt No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 and dated 07.03.2019 and he was not selected for the same. And making repeated RTI applications" **to deviate the subject not to give actual information asked in the RTI application.**

Reply to the above comments "for getting information being Indian citizen the applicant, it was not at all required to comment on applicant saying the above statement, whether he is selected for the post or not."

It is the right of the candidate to evaluate himself where he is positioned in the merit transparently in the recruitment process, which is hidden till now transparently and appointment was issued bypassing the intermediate stages.

1. The applicant asked for inspection, the inspection was rejected.

2. The selected candidate marks provided in two RTI replies were not same in terms of marks.
3. The applicant OMR was intentionally rejected in giving false reasons and now it has been said applicant is harassing PIO's.
4. Filing noting asked not provided.
5. The marks were created with new OM after applicant sought for information.
6. Some of the quires were not answered by giving false information.
7. The applicant has also filed second appeal for misguided information provided by the PIO's.


The applicant had also communicated through mail to FAA before attempting to second appeal but after disposal of First appeal not to rise new RTI application, but no reply the applicant has received for few RTI appeals.

It is totally evident from the above that PIO's is trying hard to deviate the applications attempt in failing to provide the information asked by the applicant. In addition, answering the way they want.

sd/-

Thanking you;

Vijay Kumar A



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 & 18

Dated: 29th Oct. 2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 & 18 received online on 08/10/ 2020

Sir,

With reference to the above appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply is as under:

Reply received for the above queries are as under:

PUC are First Appeal Applications no.-17 and 18 received on dated 08.10.2020 received from Sh. Vijay Kumar A. against his RTI application No. CRR/RTI/20/00055 dated 18.09.2020 and CRR/RTI/20/00054 dated 18.09.2020 seeking information pertaining to recruitment of Technical Assistant Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 under Right to Information Act, 2005.


In this regard, it is stated that Sh. Vijay Kumar is seeking information regarding Recruitment of Technical Assistant Advt. No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 dated 07.03.2019 and since past he is continuously filing multiple RTIs and First Appeals on the same subject.

As the Competent Authority had already approved previously that as per CIC decision No.CIC/BS/A/2014/002319-SA dated 18.03.2016 which has also endorsed by CSIR HQs vide Letter No. 5-1(126)/2009-PD dated 19.02.2018, no RTI of the applicant on the subject will be answered.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067
Fax: 26186536
Helpline No.: 011-26183053
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in


29/10/2020
Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR/RTI)
Room Number N 106
CRR/RTI, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00019**Applicant Name**

PUSHKAR RAJ CHANDNA

Text of Appeal

1. It is to bring to your kind attention that in response to my online RTI application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00057 dated 25.09.2020 PIO has not furnished the information sought. 2. PIO has not complied with Subsection (i) of Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Where an application is made to a public authority requesting for an information,- which is held by another public authority; whereas the information sought by undersigned is a report prepared by CRR. 3. PIO has also not complied with Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Provided that the transfer of an application pursuant to this sub-section shall be made as soon as practicable but in no case later than five days from the date of receipt of the application. PIO has not transferred my application within the timeframe as provided in the Act, whereby delaying the whole process. 4. PIO has manually transferred my application vide his letter no.: CPIO/CRRI/820 dated 14.10.2020, whereby deliberately further delaying the process. 5. PIO has also not complied with the provision of transferring application online as I had applied online vide application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00057 dated 25.09.2020, whereby further delaying the whole process. The reasons of acting contravention to the provision/ facilities provided by government by PIO are best known to him. 6. As per Sections 7(1) of RTI Act 2005, complete information should have been provided within 30 days, information has not been provided by PIO till date. 7. As per Section 7(2) of the RTI Act 2005 - If the PIO fails to give decision on the request for information within the period specified under sub-section (1), the PIO shall be deemed to have refused the request. 8. As per Section 7(6) of RTI Act 2005: Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), the person making request for the information shall be provided the information free of charge where a public authority fails to comply with the time limits specified in sub-section (1). 9. As per Section 7(9) of RTI Act 2005, information shall be provided in the form in which it has been sought. PIO has not furnished information sought. 10. PIO has further failed to comply with Sections 7(7) & 7(8) of RTI Act 2005. 11. It won't be out of place to mention here that, DMRC vide letter no.: DMRC/RTI/1118 dated 21.09.2020 has already communicated that said report is not available. A copy enclosed. 12. In view of the above information has been malafidely refused, denied and delayed by PIO. Information shall please be furnished free of charge immediately. As per the Sections 19 & 20 RTI Act 2005, an appropriate action shall please be initiated for immediate furnishing of information.

Reply of Appeal

Reply has been given as per the enclosed pdf file.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	19/10/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	21/10/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	21/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please provide me the file immediately
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	03/11/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

Print

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.CRRIN/A/E/20/00020**Applicant Name**

PUSHKAR RAJ CHANDNA

Text of Appeal

1. It is to bring to your kind attention that in response to my online RTI application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020 PIO has not furnished the information sought. 2. PIO has not complied with Subsection (i) of Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Where an application is made to a public authority requesting for an information,- which is held by another public authority; whereas the information sought by undersigned is a report prepared by CRR. 3. PIO has also not complied with Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Provided that the transfer of an application pursuant to this sub-section shall be made as soon as practicable but in no case later than five days from the date of receipt of the application. PIO has not transferred my application within the timeframe as provided in the Act, whereby delaying the whole process. 4. PIO has manually transferred my application vide his letter no.: CPIO/CRR/820 dated 14.10.2020, whereby deliberately further delaying the process. 5. PIO has also not complied with the provision of transferring application online as I had applied online vide application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020, whereby further delaying the whole process. The reasons of acting contravention to the provision/ facilities provided by government by PIO are best known to him. 6. As per Sections 7(1) of RTI Act 2005, complete information should have been provided within 30 days, information has not been provided by PIO till date. 7. As per Section 7(2) of the RTI Act 2005 - If the PIO fails to give decision on the request for information within the period specified under sub-section (1), the PIO shall be deemed to have refused the request. 8. As per Section 7(6) of RTI Act 2005: Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), the person making request for the information shall be provided the information free of charge where a public authority fails to comply with the time limits specified in sub-section (1). 9. As per Section 7(9) of RTI Act 2005, information shall be provided in the form in which it has been sought. PIO has not furnished information sought. 10. PIO has further failed to comply with Sections 7(7) & 7(8) of RTI Act 2005. 11. It won't be out of place to mention here that, DMRC vide letter no.: DMRC/RTI/1118 dated 21.09.2020 has already communicated that said report is not available. A copy enclosed. 12. In view of the above information has been malafidely refused, denied and delayed by PIO. Information shall please be furnished free of charge immediately. As per the Sections 19 & 20 RTI Act 2005, an appropriate action shall please be initiated for immediate furnishing of information.

Print

Sub: Reply to RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00019& 20 received online on 19/10/2020 PUC are First Appeal Applications CRRIN/A/E/20/00019& 20 received on dated 19.10.2020 received from Sh. Puskar Raj Chandna against his RTI application No. CRRIR/E/20/00057 dated 25.09.2020 and CRRIR/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020 seeking information under Right to Information Act, 2005. The information was sought from the PIO (Dr Nasim Akhtar PL, TPE Division) and the reply received on dated 02/11/2020 is as under: 1.It is to bring to your kind attention that in response to my online RTI application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020 PIO has not furnished the information sought. Reply to Point 1: Information has already been furnished against application dated 25/09/2020. 2.PIO has not complied with Subsection (i) of Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Where an application is made to a public authority requesting for an information,- which is held by another public authority; whereas the information sought by undersigned is a report prepared by CRRIR. Reply to Point 2: Application has already been sent to DMRC. 3.PIO has also not complied with Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Provided that the transfer of an application pursuant to this sub-section shall be made as soon as practicable but in no case later than five days from the date of receipt of the application. PIO has not transferred my application within the timeframe as provided in the Act, whereby delaying the whole process. Reply to Point 3: Same as No. 2 4.PIO has manually transferred my application vide his letter no.: CPIO/CRRIR/820 dated 14.10.2020, whereby deliberately further delaying the process. Reply to Point 4: Application has been sent to DMRC by post and the reason already intimated to the applicant. 5.PIO has also not complied with the provision of transferring application online as I had applied online vide application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020, whereby further delaying the whole process. The reasons of acting contravention to the provision/ facilities provided by government by PIO are best known to him. Reply to Point 5: Same as no.4 6. As per Sections 7(1) of RTI Act 2005, complete information should have been provided within 30 days, information has not been provided by PIO till date. Reply to Point 6: Complete information has been provided. 7.As per Section 7(2) of the RTI Act 2005 - If the PIO fails to give decision on the request for information within the period specified under sub-section (1), the PIO shall be deemed to have refused the request. Reply to Point 7: Same as 6. 8.As per Section 7(6) of RTI Act 2005: Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), the person making request for the information shall be provided the information free of charge where a public authority fails to comply with the time limits specified in sub-section (1). Reply to Pont 8: Not Applicable 9.As per Section 7(9) of RTI Act 2005, information shall be provided in the form in which it has been sought. PIO has not furnished information sought. Reply to Pont 9: NA 10.PIO has further failed to comply with Sections 7(7) & 7(8) of RTI Act 2005. Reply to Pont 10: NA 11.It won't be out of place to mention here that, DMRC vide letter no.: DMRC/RTI/1118 dated 21.09.2020 has already communicated that said report is not available. A copy enclosed Reply to Pont 11: NA 12.In view of the above information has been malafidely refused, denied and delayed by PIO. Information shall please be furnished free of charge immediately. As per the Sections 19 & 20 RTI Act 2005, an appropriate action shall please be initiated for immediate furnishing of information. Reply to Pont 12: Answer has been given against dated 25/09/2020 RTI-No CRRIR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00019 is same as RTI No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00020.

Reply of Appeal

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
-----	--------------	----------------	-----------------	---------

Print

1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	19/10/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	21/10/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	COMMENTS SOUGHT FROM CPIO	21/10/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	Please send me the related file
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	03/11/2020	FAA - Dr. Neelima Chakrabarty	

[Print](#)



SPEED POST

**OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025**

Dated: 02nd November 2020

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00019 & 20

To,

Mr Pushkar Raj Chandna
Flat No. 710, MECON Apartments,
C58/10, Sector 62,
Noida

102501
03/11/2020

**Sub: Reply to RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00019& 20 received online on
19/10/2020**

PUC are First Appeal Applications CRRIN/A/E/20/00019& 20 received on dated 19.10.2020 received from Sh. Puskar Raj Chandna against his RTI application No. CRR/RTI/20/00057 dated 25.09.2020 and CRR/RTI/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020 seeking information under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The information was sought from the PIO (Dr Nasim Akhtar PL, TPE Division) and the reply received on dated 02/11/2020 is as under:

1. It is to bring to your kind attention that in response to my online RTI application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020 PIO has not furnished the information sought.

Reply to Point 1: Information has already been furnished against application dated 25/09/2020.

2. PIO has not complied with Subsection (i) of Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Where an application is made to a public authority requesting for an information, - which is held by another public authority; whereas the information sought by undersigned is a report prepared by CRRI.

Reply to Point 2: Application has already been sent to DMRC.

3. PIO has also not complied with Section 6(3) of RTI Act, which states that - Provided that the transfer of an application pursuant to this sub-section shall be made as soon as practicable but in no case later than five days from the date of receipt of the application. PIO has not transferred my application within the timeframe as provided in the Act, whereby delaying the whole process.

Reply to Point 3: Same as No. 2

4. PIO has manually transferred my application vide his letter no.: CPIO/CRR/820 dated 14.10.2020, whereby deliberately further delaying the process.

Reply to Point 4: Application has been sent to DMRC by post and the reason already intimated to the applicant.

5. PIO has also not complied with the provision of transferring application online as I had applied online vide application no.: CRRIN/R/E/20/00058 dated 25.09.2020, whereby further delaying the whole process. The reasons of acting contravention to the provision/ facilities provided by government by PIO are best known to him.

7c

Reply to Point 5: Same as no.4

6. As per Sections 7(1) of RTI Act 2005, complete information should have been provided within 30 days, information has not been provided by PIO till date.

Reply to Point 6: Complete information has been provided.

7. As per Section 7(2) of the RTI Act 2005 - If the PIO fails to give decision on the request for information within the period specified under sub-section (1), the PIO shall be deemed to have refused the request.

Reply to Point 7: Same as 6.

8. As per Section 7(6) of RTI Act 2005: Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), the person making request for the information shall be provided the information free of charge where a public authority fails to comply with the time limits specified in sub-section (1).

Reply to Point 8: Not Applicable

9. As per Section 7(9) of RTI Act 2005, information shall be provided in the form in which it has been sought. PIO has not furnished information sought.

Reply to Point 9: NA

10. PIO has further failed to comply with Sections 7(7) & 7(8) of RTI Act 2005.

Reply to Point 10: NA

11. It won't be out of place to mention here that, DMRC vide letter no.: DMRC/RTI/1118 dated 21.09.2020 has already communicated that said report is not available. A copy enclosed


Reply to Point 11: NA

12. In view of the above information has been malafidely refused, denied and delayed by PIO. Information shall please be furnished free of charge immediately. As per the Sections 19 & 20 RTI Act 2005, an appropriate action shall please be initiated for immediate furnishing of information.

Reply to Point 12: Answer has been given against dated 25/09/2020
RTI-No CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00019 is same as RTI No. CRRIN/A/E/20/00020.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067
Fax: 26186536
Helpline No.: 011-26183053
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in


21/11/2020
Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi: 110025
Phone: 9810448759

SPEED POST



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00021

Dated: 08th December 2020

To,

Sh Rakesh Khatana
B-10, Sharda Colony Vistara (Opp Basant Vihar Colony)
80 Feet Road
Mahesh Nagar
Rajasthan
Pin: 302019
rickykhatana@gmail.com
Mobile: +91-9414322834

02314
09/12/2020

Sub: Reply to the RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00021

Sir,

With reference to the above appeal received against RTI No. CRR/RTI/20/00062 seeking information under RTI-ACT 2005 regarding Sanctioned Strength and Man in Position of stenographic cadre staff. The reply was sought for these queries from the SO (Personnel Cell) and SO (E-I) and the replies are as under:

Reply received for the above queries are as under:

Query Sl. No.	RTI	Reply First Appeal
1	Latest Sanctioned Strength of Stenographic Cadre in CRR	The reply received is as following: Attached herewith the reply (Annexure 1 pages)
2	Till date Men-in-position for the above-mentioned post(s) (with Name, Designation, Date of Joining, Date of Birth, Category (Cast) and promotion history also).	The reply received is as following: Sr. Steno -4 Nos., Jr. Steno -2 Nos., PS-1 Nos. (Seniority list is attached herewith Annexure (2 pages)
3	Till date for the following Jr. Steno, Sr. Steno, PS, etc., how many staff people for the above-mentioned post (s) are going to be promoting this year and in the coming 5 years. (please provide the due date of promotion of Men-in-position for the above mentioned Post(s))	The reply received is as following: "PIO is not supposed to create answer/give advice/opinion etc as per RTI ACT 2005."


g/c

4	In the sanctioned strength of stenographic cadre after the latest (2020) cadre review, how many post(s) are reserved under DPC, DTQ and DRQ? (Please provide the complete method(s) and divide formula also for the above).	The reply received is as following: "Posts are reserved for DPC, DTQ and DRQ as per the CSIR Administrative Services Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 2020 the same is available in CSIR website."
5	Is there any DoPT or CSIR order to measure local seniority for Junior Stenographer / Junior Secretariat Assistant posts in CSIR or your laboratory if any (please provide a copy)	The reply received is as following: "PIO is not supposed to create answer/give advice/opinion etc as per RTI Act 2005."
6	For this Query please check the RTI Application	The reply received from SO(Personnel) is as-following: CPIO is not supposed to create answer/give advice/opinion etc as per RTI Act 2005. Further it is stated that the transfer, posting and seniority are dealt as per guidelines issued by CSIR and DoP&T.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067
Fax: 26188536
Helpline No.: 011-26183053
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in


8/12/2020
Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 8th December 2020

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00022

Reminder 1: Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00022 received online on 02/12/2020

With reference to above, kindly refer to RTI First Appeal online by the appellant Mr Vijay Kumar A . It is requested to give a suitable reply to the RTI First Appeal by Five working Days under the RTI Act, 2005

Information seeking for

1. The name(s) of the competitive authorities approved the letter as attached in the annexure 1 and 2 and their designation.

It has been noted that:

The marks of the same candidate provided by same authorities are not at all matching as attached in the annexure 1 and 2 and it was also mentioned in earlier RTI applications too permit for inspection request but the authorities trying hard to deviate the application by giving false information also commented on applicant saying, applicant is harassing the authorities.

It has been noted that:

Comments are made on applicant saying, "The applicant, Sh. Vijay Kumar A, was a candidate for the post of Technical Assistant advertised through Advt No. 01/PC/Tech-2019 and dated 07.03.2019 and he was not selected for the same. And making repeated RTI applications" to deviate the subject not to give actual information asked in the RTI application.

Reply to the above comments "for getting information being Indian citizen the applicant, it was not at all required to comment on applicant saying the above statement, whether he is selected for the post or not."

It is totally evident from the above that the marks were created and PIO's is trying hard to deviate the applications attempt in failing to provide the

12/12/2020
08/12/2020

information asked by the applicant. In addition, answering the way they want.

In view of the above it is also requested to provide the information of earlier RTI applications which were not answered but disposed along with this application.

The RTI applications/ First appeal

- a. CRRIN/R/E/20/00042 improper reply has been received
- b. CRRIN/R/E/20/00048 not answered quires
- c. CRRIN/R/E/20/00051 not answered quires
- d. CRRIN/R/E/20/00054 not answered quires
- e. CRRIN/R/E/20/00055 not answered quires
- f. CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 not answered quires
- g. CRRIN/A/E/20/00014 not answered quires
- h. CRRIN/A/E/20/00015 not answered quires
- i. CRRIN/A/E/20/00016 not answered quires
- j. CRRIN/R/E/20/00040 Inspection request rejected.
- k. CRRIN/R/E/20/00042 Inspection request rejected.
- l. CRRIN/A/E/20/00013 Inspection request rejected.
- m. CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 not genuine information provided.
- n. CRRIN/A/E/20/00012 not genuine information provided. If
"all the available information provided" then please allow
the applicant for inspection instead of denying.
- o. CRRIN/R/E/20/00063 not answered quires.
- p. CRRIN/A/E/20/00017 not answered quires.
- q. CRRIN/A/E/20/00018 not answered quires.

2. It would be worthy to highlight hereunder the relevant provision of the act, u/s 5(4) and 5(5) that makes every person involved in the chain of processing information under RTI Act, responsible for the delay or non-provision of information considered eligible under this act. As per the provisions of the Act, u/s 20(1), non-compliance of submission of information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of the section 7 or malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect / incomplete or misleading information, shall impose a penalty of Rs.250/- each day till information is furnished, on the officer responsible for the same. The information may be provided after approval from competent authority.

SO(Personnel)


(Neelima Chakrabarty)
First Appellate Authority

Encls. : Six Pages

7/c



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

SPEED POST

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/20/00022

Dated: 10th December 2020

To,

Vijay Kumar A
B 24,
All India Radio Qtrs
Opp To Royyelahanka
Newton 4th phase Main Road
Bangalore, Karnataka- 560064
+91-9632805614,
vijaykumaruvce@gmail.com

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference number CRRIN/A/E/20/00022 received online on 02/12/2020

Sir,

With reference to the above appeal the reply was sought from the SO (Personnel Cell) and the reply is as under:

Reply received from SO(Personnel) for the above queries are as under:

The name and designation of the competent authority is mentioned on the documents itself.


Further, it is informed that the marks of the candidate with Roll no. 210075 as information provided in Annexure -I of the RTI Application is an inadvertent typographical error by the PIO (Personnel cell) on their reply via F. No. 2(119)/2014-RTI-PL (attached) with reference to the reply of RTI no. CRRIN/A/E/20/00011 on 2nd July 2020. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, FAA is not supposed to give clarification/advice/opinion/create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority so the same reply has been forwarded to the appellant on 2nd July 2020 (Annexure-I).

However, the Personnel Section has also provided the marks secured by the candidate with Roll No. 210075 as paper -I: 84, Paper -II: 55 and Paper -III: 149 in reply to the RTI No. 773 dated 06.07.2020 and the same marks was also reflected on the CRR website vide Corrigendum Notice dated 10.08.2020.

The reply has already been approved by the Director, CSIR-CRR while dealing the RTI No 837 of Sh. Vijay Kumar A.

If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section 3(19) of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. Within, the details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067
Fax: 26186536
Helpline No.: 011-26183053
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in


Neelima Chakrabarty, FAA (CRR)
Room Number N 106
CRR, Mathura Road
New-Delhi 110025
Phone: 9810448759